# EIGHTH JUDICIAL DI\$TRICT,COURT7 CIVIL/CRIMINALIDIVIS CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

CASE NO. C177394

VS.

KIRSTIN BLAISE LOBATO,

DEPT. NO. II

Defendant.

Transcripts of Proceedings

BEFORE THE HONORABLE VALORIE J. VEGA, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

## "ROUGH DRAFT"

JURY TRIAL - DAY 12 VOLUME XII

TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 26, 2006

COURT RECORDER:

TRANSCRIPTION BY:

LISA LIZOTTE District Court NW TRANSCRIPTS, LLC.

1027 S. RAINBOW BLVD., #148 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89145-6232

(702) 373-7457

nwtranscripts@msn.com

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, transcript produced by transcription service.

STATE'S EXHIBITS

**DEFENDANT'S EXHIBITS** 

Scissors

Photo

Photo

Photo

Photo of Kirstin Lobato

Photo of Kirstin Lobato Photo of Kirstin Lobato

260 261

CCCC

FFF-1

III

KKK

LLL

 $\overline{M}\overline{M}M$ NNN 000 PPP

TIT UUU

ŴV

YYY

ZZŻ

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9 10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

ĀMĀ

**APPEARANCES:** 

FOR THE STATE:

**BILL KEPHART** Chief Deputy District Attorney

200 South Third Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

(702) 455-3482

SANDRA K, DIGIACOMO Deputy District Attorney 200 South Third Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (702) 455-6450

FOR THE DEFENDANT: DAVID M. SCHIECK

Special Public Defender 333 South Third Street, 2'd Floor

Las Vegas, Nevada 89155 (702) 455-6265

SHARI L. GREENBERGER, ESQ.

SARA ZALKIN, ESO.

506 Broadway

San Franciscó, California 94133

XII-2

# INDEX

DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS NAME

X11-3

**DEFENDANT'S WITNESS** 

Michael Laufer 16/52 36/114 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA

TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 26, 2006

# **PROCEEDINGS**

**EXHIBITS** 

Dr. Laufer's curriculum vitae

Exhibit FFF drawn on by Dr., Laufer

Photo of single-edged butterfly knife

Photo of single-edged butterfly knife

Photo of double-edged butterfly knife

Photo of double-eaged butterny kine
Photo of barber scissors
Photo of stabbing into cushion with scissors
Photo of stabbing into cushion with scissors

Photo of sequence of stabbing into cushion Photo of sequence of stabbing into cushion Photo of sequence of stabbing into cushion Photo of stabbing into cushion Photo of stabbing into cushion

Photo of scissors

ADMITTED

36 123

109 109

PROCEEDINGS BEGAN AT 11:07:31 A.M.

(Jurors are not present)

THE BAILIFF: Department II is now in session, The

Honorable Valorie 3, Vega presiding. Please be seated.

THE COURT: The record shall reflect that we're convened outside the presence of the jury, at counsel's request, in State versus Lobato, under C177394, in the presence of the defendant, together with Mr. Schieck, and the two prosecuting attorneys are present as well.

Who wishes to be heard?

MR. KEPHART: I do, Your Honor, with regards to the witnesses -- however, they have been excluded from the testimony, and I know -- I mean from the trial, and there's one sitting in here now. This gentleman here. I'd ask that he that be excluded during my argument.

THE COURT: Who is the gentleman?

MR, SCHIECK: It's Dr. Laufer, Your Honor.

19 THE COURT: Okay. 20 MR. SCHIECK: He's an endorsed expert. 21 22 THE COURT: Okay. MR. SCHIECK: He's our next witness. 23 THE COURT: The Court's going to ask that he step 24 X11-5

**ROUGH DRAFT JURY TRIAL - DAY 12** 

to the hallway and while he there wait, that he not discuss anything that concerns this case.

The record shall reflect that he has complied and exited the courtroom.

MR, KEPHART: Your Honor, we received an amended notice of defendant's expert witnesses filed August  $18^{^{\text{th}}}$  of 2006 -- I'm sorry, August  $18^{^{\text{th}}}$  of — yeah, 2006, and, in that, amongst many of the individuals that they noticed, one of them was Michael Laufer, M.D., I think the Court can -- has a copy of that

The — what they've noticed him of, as an emergency room trauma surgeon, forensic pathologist, with expertise in injury reconstruction, trauma — traumatic injury and biomedical engineering. What they say he's expected to testify to is regarding the type of instrument wielded by Duran Bailey's assailant, the manner of affliction of injuries, the positioning of clothing at the time of certain injuries based on the blood stains and cut in the decedent's pants, the sophistication of tri—attack, and the physical dimensions required of the assailant's hands based on the injury patterns. That's the extent of what they've noticed us on.

They -- in the interim, they have provided us with three separate report. One of them is not dated but I assume it came shortly -- in conjunction with the August 18 <sup>th</sup> notice.

X11-6

Then we received a September 4<sup>th</sup> report, dated September 3<sup>rd</sup>, And then we received, yesterday, a report dated September 24<sup>th</sup>, and we got it on the 25th.

With regards to the report that was given to us yesterday by the defense, there's quite a few additional areas that this expert is claiming he wants to testify with. He's talking about cause of death, the bloody footprints, the time of death, the rigor mortis, the blood drying, information about meth use and alcohol. He has not -- they have not provided us any information on their notice that that was what his intent was to be testifying about. Matter of fact there's other experts that they've noticed that would be testifying regarding the time of death. They haven't given us -- Turvey — Brent Turvey has been noticed as being a crime scene -- forensic crime scene reconstructionist. And now this gentleman, here, is going to be coming in here and expected to testify, at least according to his report, in reference to multiple areas outside what they notice us in.

So I think it's inappropriate. It's similar to what was attempted in a previous trial with George Schiro. And this Court did not allow the defense to allow Mr. Schiro to testify to things beyond what he was noticed in, and the Supreme Court upheld that and found that it was without merit to object -- to argue that.

I think that this case here already has precedent. And it's interesting that the very defense attorneys in this case are making the same attempt that they were unsuccessful doing last time. This is sandbagging, what they pulled with us here, and provided us with this report at the late hours yesterday. We had an opportunity to look at it last night, and we're making this motion now, Your Honor, to prevent the defense from being able to proffer testimony from this man in reference to the areas of cause of death, time of death, rigor mortis, blood drying, footprints, alcohol effects and methamphetamine use.

MS. GREENBERGER: One moment, Your Honor, (Pause in the proceedings)

THE COURT: Last week the State had represented to the Court that the State would be resting its case in chief on Monday, so the Court had believed that the State would be concluding on Monday also the defense. Yesterday, Mr. Kephart informed the Court, during Detective Thowsen's testimony when we had a sidebar, with regard to scheduling for today because the defense had the expert coming in from out of state. Mr. Kephart indicated that Detective Thowsen would be the State's last witness in the State's case in chief. So this most recent report you received on what would have been the last day of your case in chief had the examination not

X11-8

gone a little longer than anticipated, it appears?

MR. KEPHART: That's correct, Your Honor.

MS. GREENBERGER: Your Honor, just a couple things in response. First of all, we noticed Dr. Laufer as an expert as an emergency room trauma surgeon and a forensic pathologist with expertise in injury reconstruction, traumatic injury and biomedical engineering. And at that time, we indicated to the Court that he would testify regarding the type of instrument wielded by Duran Bailey's assailant, the manner of infliction of injuries, the positioning of clothing at the time of certain injuries based on the blood stains and cut in the decedent's pants, the sophistication of the attack and the physical dimensions required of the assailant's hand based on the injury patterns.

Second, prior to the first trial of this case of which we didn't handle -- however, we have a notice of expert witness list from the prosecution. In noticing Larry Simms, the medical examiner that testified, all the prosecution indicated was the witness is a medical examiner and is expected to testify regarding the autopsy of the victim. Likewise, Dr, Laufer is a forensic pathologist, and the cause of death, time of death is with the domain -- within the domain of forensic pathology.

Moreover, after the prosecution recently put on

X11-9

evidence, as of Friday, with regard to Detective Ford testifying about the wet and dry footprints, partially wet, partially dry, and introduced evidence yesterday that they believe another individual, not the assailant, was present in the dumpster area, new material has come up which is in the ambit of all the parameters that we previously noticed Dr. Laufer on, and we will be presenting this testimony as an offer to rebut the evidence that the State has presented. We believe it's completely permissible. We noticed him much more exhaustively than Dr. Simms was noticed. And again, like Dr. Simms, he's forensic pathologist in addition to being an emergency room trauma surgeon that has qualified in the area of forensic medicine which encompasses forensic pathology and injury reconstruction.

And we have been repeatedly disclosing our Power Point presentation to them, weeks ago, and every time a new dimension is added into the report based on something that has come up during this trial, we have immediately disclosed that to the prosedaron.

MR. SCHIECK: Your Honor, if I could just add a couple of things that I noted?

THE COURT: The State's not arguing that he's not qualified to testify in all of these areas. They're not getting to that issue. They're saying that they weren't noticed under

X11-10

sandbagging is. And when we say reconstructionist, what do they think that means if it's not time, manner and method of death? He's noticed as a reconstructionist which goes to the entire incident, Your Honor. And they could have called him at any time-

THE COURT: No, It says he has expertise in injury reconstruction but then it says he's expected to testify regarding thus and such. And the thus and such didn't include that.

MR. SCHIECK: Your Honor, as this case has progressed, there has been additional testimony that was not anticipated, including Mr. Ford on his bloody footprints and the speed of drying, that opened up new areas, and that's the only thing that —

THE COURT: Such is the nature of a trial,
MR. SCHIECK: Yes, that's true, Your Honor. And
we try to give as much notice as we can to the State. And
that's all the legislature and the statute envision, is that we
give them everything we have when we have it. And that's
what we've done, We haven't played hide the ball with them.

THE COURT: The record shall reflect that there was no objection during Ms. Paulette's testimony as to her going into areas that were not on the State's notice. This is the first such motion that the Court has entertained in this trial.

X11-12

NRS174,234, that he would be called by the defense to give testimony in all of these areas. Only in those areas that are set forth in that amended notice from August 18 <sup>th</sup> of 2006.

MR. SCHIECK: Which notice was timely, Your Honor. The reports were provided as soon as they were available, as they became available. The 24<sup>th</sup> was a Sunday and unfortunately we don't have the home addresses to go to their house and give them a copy of it. We gave them the next day, Monday, the report, that I didn't see until Monday morning becatise it was transmitted Sunday night to my office. So they were provided everything as soon as we had it. And for them to accuse us of sandbagging or hiding the ball, speaks volumes toward their own ethics in this case.

If we just look at Kristina Paulette and the notice that they gave us on Kristina Paulette, who was to testify concerning the area of DNA technology and scientific opinions related thereto. She's expected to testify regarding DNA profiling, analysis and related procedures. Nowhere do I hear phenolphthalein or luminol testimony which they elicited, that wasn't noticed. So if anybody's been sandbagging the case, it's them.

They never had a problem with Dr. Laufer until the very last minute, They come in here this morning knowing he's here today, before they say word one. That's what

MR, KEPHART: Judge, just for the record with regards to Ms. Paulette, though -- however, the testimony that she proffered about the phenolphthalein and the luminol, she talked about the effect that those would have on DNA. So it is in conjunction with her expertise in the area of DNA.

Besides that point, Your Honor, with regards to my motion — State's motion here this morning, they did notice us of a Charles Wetley, M.D., medical examiner, and they say that he'd be testifying regarding opinion about the cause and manner of the victim's death and the estimated time of death. And they provided us with his CV in that area. It's interesting, though, because his CV basically would assist or even comport with Dr. Simms' testimony as to the time of death.

However, Michael Laufer, now, in this third report -- bear in mind, there was two reports done. And in the third report, we're told now about -- about time of death. It's drastically different than their own medical examiner that they have noticed on, and they say that he's a forensic pathologist. When you look at his CV, there is absolutely nothing in his CV that says he is a forensic pathologist. I haven't addressed that yet, and the Court pointed that out, but I think that it will come to light in any voir-dire question that I may have of him.

However, there's all the additional information about the blood drying, he's talking about alcohol effect on time of

X11-13

<u>NV v LOBATO</u> 9/26/06

death, he's talking about rigor mortis, he's talking about the time of death, and he talks about the footprints in this case. All of that is all new information that was never provided us in any of his report until yesterday. And we did receive it in the afternoon, and we were in our case in chief. We were presenting evidence and presenting our case in chief. We were here late last night reviewing this in order to make -- or determine the -- his arguments and be able to be prepared for cross-examination. And as we were looking, we see he had not noticed us of any of these additional areas,

I think it's appropriate that he not be allowed to testify in that area -- in these areas that I've pointed out. And

THE COURT: The Court grants the motion, Dr, Laufer, L-A-U-F-E-R, will be permitted to testify in the areas that were properly noticed on the amended notice of witness, filed August 18<sup>th</sup> of 2006. The ruling's pursuant to NRS174.234.

The bailiff may return the jury to the courtroom at this time.

Whichever of defendant's counsel is going to be questioning Dr. Laufer may step to the hallway and once the jury has come into the courtroom, may explain the Court's ruling to him before he comes in to give testimony.

X11-14

As the Court had advised you yesterday, there's a witness that for scheduling reasons is going to be called out of order. This will be the defendant's first witness. Ms, Greenberger has stepped to the hallway to retrieve the witness and will be back shortly,

MICHAEL LAUFER, DEFENDANT'S WITNESS, SWORN THE CLERK: State your name and spell it for the record, please,,

THE WITNESS: My name is Michael D. Laufer, L-a-u-f-e-r, M.D.

THE COURT: Ms. Greenberger, you may proceed,
MS. GREENBERGER: Thank you, Your Honor.
DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. GREENBERGER:

Q Good morning, Dr. Laufer,

A Morning.

Q Could you kindly introduce yourself to the jury and tell them about your formal education?

A Sure. My name is Dr, Mike Laufer. I'm a attending physician at Stanford University Medical Center, I used to work at University Medical Center here, as well, when we were opening the trauma center. I'm an emergency physician trauma surgeon. I've been doing that for longer than I care to remember, over twenty-five years. I've been trained in

X11-16

MR, KEPHART: Your Honor, in light of your ruling, we have not seen what his Power Point is with regard to these other areas, And similar to the opening of the defense, as we had to make an objection at the -- at certain points during that, I don't want to be in a situation where something goes up in front of the board on his Power Point that goes directly to these areas.

THE COURT: I didn't know that Dr. Laufer was going to have a Power Point presentation.

MR!KEPHART: They've provided us — MS. GREENBERGER: It hasn't been modified.

MR, KEPHART: What's that?

MS, GREENBERGER: It hasn't been modified. What we provided you is exactly what that is.

MR, KEPHART: What we have been given is -- are photographs. And in those photographs — we've gotten three sets at various stages. And —

(Jurors reconvened at 11:24:43 a.m.)

THE COURT: The record shall reflect that the jury is being returned to the courtroom at this time and may be seated as they arrive in their seats in the jury box area,

Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. We unfortunately had a legal issue arise that we had to address outside your presence.

emergency medicine, as well as trauma, advanced cardiac life support, basic life support. I'm an instructor in advanced cardiac as well as basic life support, and advanced trauma life support and basic life support. Lots of what we call merit badges.

I also have taken a specific interest in forensic medicine. Have done several hundreds of autopsies, I've treated a hundred thousand patients in my career. Several of them had various injuries -- penetrating injuries, which includes gunshot injuries, stab wounds, overdoses, drug intoxications, drug withdrawal, pretty much the entire gambit of medicine that emergency medicine incorporates.

Q What degrees do you have?

A I have a bachelor's degree from the University of Colorado which was actually a combined program -- sort of self-created bioengineering program. My degree is actually in molecular cellular developmental biology but I also had enough credits to get degrees in biochemistry and in electrical engineering.

Q What is bioengineering?

A Well, at the time, it was still being defined. But, fundamentally, it is the engineering approach of systems, mechanics, electronics, electricity, to the body and biological systems.

XII-17

Q Where did you go to medical scnool?

At Stanford University,

Q When did you graduate?

Α 1985, with an MD.

Have you done postdoctoral training?

Α

2

3

5

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

1 2

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q What does that involve?

I completed a residency in emergency medicine at Harbor-UCLA Medical Center which is I guess the little county hospital in Los Angeles. We have five emergency departments. We treat about a thousand patients in twentyfour hours. And then I went back to Stanford and completed a fellowship in trauma and emergency medicine which included flying around in a helicopter doing emergency care. And also did a fellowship in sort of the business aspects of medicine.

Q Have you been practicing medicine — well, how long have you been practicing medicine?

I was licensed in 1986.

Where ' &e you licensed?

Α In California, then, Although when I came out to work at University Medical Center when we opened the trauma center here, I was also licensed in Nevada.

you have?

1

2

3

4

5

describe what that is?

10 11 12

13 Dr. Bracken to come over and give further information about 14 starting IVs or what kinds of procedures to perform. So it's 15 16

17 18 19

20 21 22

23

24

2

3

5

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Q Can you describe the licenses and certifications that

essentially medical direction for paramedics in the field. Q In addition to working with paramedics, do you also have an opportunity to work with law enforcement?

Q So it's a significant status that you enjoy?

both Santa Clara County, San Mateo County, Los Angeles

County and in Clark County, It involves giving direction to the

paramedics so when they have a particularly challenging or -

difficult case they make radio contact with the base. Some of

show where they ring up on the little box and nurse sticks [sic]

you may remember Rescue 51 or Emergency, that television

him a call or somebody comes to the box and then they get

It's certainly the smaller part of the group,

Q Are you a base station physician and, if so, can you

Yes. I've actually been a base station physician in

Yes. I'm medical director for the Palo Alto Fire Department which involves doing research, doing teaching, going over cases, But I also work with the Santa Clara County SERT team which is like a SWAT team, It's the Sheriff's Emergency Response Team.

Q In the course of your career have you specifically assisted law enforcement with regard to the origin and

X11-20

X11-18

Α Well, the licenses are fairly straightforward. It's essentially a license to practice medicine and surgery. Certifications are all those merit badges that I mentioned, But I'm also a fellow of the American College of Emergency Physicians, as well as a fellow in both Forensic Medicine and Forensic Examination.

Q Can you describe for the ladies and gentlemen of the jury what a "fellow" is and what it signifies and how you obtain that status?

Welt, it all starts with an organization which has actually morphed its name. I was I think one of the first thirty members of what at the time was called the American College of Forensic Examiners. That later became the International College of Forensic Medicine and the International College of Forensic Examiners.

Fellowship requires licensure as well as being in good standing in all of the other things of being a member of the college, but, in addition, you have to take an examination and you have to be recommended by various other members, that sort of thing.

Q What percent -- if you can quantify — does a fellow signify -- how many people obtain fellow status?

A I'm not completely sure of the number. When I□ became a fellow, it was less than 10 percent of the members. confirmation of injuries?

That's interesting. A lot of the time the law enforcement groups have their own sort of internal experts. Certainly every once in awhile we end up discussing cases, but I can't say that law enforcement specifically has brought me into cases, with one exception. And that is that my wife is a career federal prosecutor, and on a number of occasions they have cases where they ask me to consult and give them direction and ask them how to build their cases,

Q So you've been an emergency room surgeon at Stanford for twenty years?

At least. Yes.

Q Can you give us an overview of your experience and activities as a consequence of your employment in surgery and autopsy?

A Well, luckily as an emergency physician we don't see too many dead people. You know, most of them come in alive at least. Every once in awhile they die in our care. One of the things we always try to do is, you know, do the best to keep them alive. Sometimes on those occasions when we are unsuccessful for whatever reason, we like to know what happened. Some people sort of have the cases end when the body leaves the emergency department. I actually on a number of occasions have followed the bodies and either done

X11-21

<sup>-</sup>9/26/06

the autopsy or assisted in the autopsy, in order to find out more about what the circumstances of death were

Q So in the course or your emergency room work, you developed an interest in looking behind injuries, and followed the bodies down to autopsy? Is that how your forensic pathology developed?

A It actually even predated that. I mean, I can remember when I was fourteen years old going to the Colorado Bureau of Investigation and learning how they examine evidence and how they tag evidence, how they bag it, how they maintain chain of custody, how they microscopically examine forensic evidence. So it really started then, and then continued through -- throughout medical school. I used to go both to the emergency department where I used to just hang out and study, and also to the autopsy suite where I assisted or did probably a hundred autopsies over the course of four years

Q What is forensic pathology? Can you define it?

A Well, it lisart of forensic medicine. So maybe I should go one step back and sort of say what forensic medicine is. It encompasses multiple specialities, things like forensic psychiatry or forensic psychology, trying to get into the head of the person. And you've probably heard of the FBI having people who will try to get a specification on what sort

X11-22

of person may have committed these crimes. It involves things like forensic accounting, where -- the old adage of follow the money and you'll find the perpetrator comes into effect. You know, people getting divorces may want to find out where all the money is hidden or where it went. So forensic accounting is part of forensic medicine or forensics in general.

Forensic pathology is a part of that as well. And that can include everything from looking at injuries and figuring out time of death nd figuring out things about blood spatter patterns, figuring out patterned injuries and what could have caused them, and that then morphs into a further subspecialty of injury reconstruction, sometimes failure reconstruction and - so it's really a very broad sort of range, and different people have different specialties within that.

Q Do you have specific training within the area of forensic pathology?

A Well, certainly as far as having done several autopsies under direction, learning how to do them, learning how to look at tissue specimens, seeing different kinds of injuries, you know, seeing thousands of different injuries on dead people. But probably more important, seeing, you know, tens of thousands of injuries on people who are still alive, which is something that's a bit different from what your typical

forensic pathologist does. Where I can actually talk to the person and say, you know, did you fall down stairs or did you hit this on a jungle gym or, you know, did you get hit with a billy club or a stick or a belt or a — you know, was this a stab wound from a butcher knife or a kitchen knife or, you know, was it a 38mm, a .9mm, a 40 caliber, you know, what — what all of those pieces then -- that come together, as well as talking to other people who were at the scene and can give further information.

Q In the course of evaluating over a hundred thousand patients, have you had opportunities to examine and contrast when someone comes into the emergency room with a specific injury and tells you it was caused by a specific instrument and actually deciphering the mechanism of injury?

A Yes, And in fact I lecture on that topic. One of the important things in emergency medicine is being able to put together the pattern of injury that you see with the story that you hear. As an example, a child comes in who has a broken arm, and they say that they just fell down, and you say, well, you can't get this kind of an injury just falling down, are you sure something else didn't happen, and then you slowly but surely find out that they didn't fall down, they were thrown down, or they fell off -- or out of a second story window, and, yeah, they fell but it was more than just falling down.

X11-24

So we are actually charged with having a fairly high suspicion in certain kinds of cases. And the charge is to be correct. You have to both know when the injury matches the mechanism, because you don't want to falsely accuse someone of abuse when it didn't happen, and you also have to be right when you see something that doesn't match, because it's our -- you know, we are given the responsibility of protecting the patient from further injury if it's -- if the injury is happening in a household or in an environment We have to take them out of that environment. So —

Q Does your biomedical engineering background assist you in that capacity?

A Well, I'd say probably all of my training to some degree, helps. I mean, I think that in some ways I look at some of these things a little bit differently than your average physician does because I've had that rigorous engineering training where you look at the specifics of forces and vectors and direction and torque and all of those sort of mechanical physical properties and apply those to tissues and bones and parts of the body. So it's certainly an extension..

Q Excuse me for getting you off -- so unlike a forensic pathologist that devotes all of his time to examining decedents, you enjoy practicing on patients as well as attending autopsies?

1

2

3

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

A Well, that's right I mean, I guess in a way it's broader than just looking at the patients who can't tell you the story and having to figure it out. But you can figure out a lot more by doing both. So, you know, in some cases you have a pretty good idea of what happened and then you go and get the rest of the data from the autopsy or from the family or from the paramedics or the police, and you put the whole picture together. Where, you know, sometimes in forensic pathology, you're stick in the dungeon of the autopsy room and then, you know, two-thirds of your time is spent in court giving testimony. So it's — it's all part and parcel and both are clearly important, But I've had the good fortune to be able to do both.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q In addition to your work at the hospital, can you tell us what academic appointments you hold?
- A Well, I mean, over the years, I've been assistant professor of medicine at University California, San Francisco, and assistant professor of surgery at Stanford. I don't think I had an academiCtitie when I was in Nevada but -- I can't remember,
- Q Have you recently been contacted regarding an adjunct teaching position at any other universities?
- A Yeah, Well, actually Harvard has been recruiting me to join their faculty in the department of surgery. In order to

X11-26

give lectures -- they have a new program of satellite education, and they want me to do satellite programs for them, both on some of these sorts of issues as well as on some of the business aspects of medicine.

- Q What hospitals are you affiliated with?
- A Currently, I think the only hospital is Stanford. But over the years, obviously, UNLV in Nevada. We actually opened up a new hospital called St Louise in Morgan Hill, California. I was at Mt. Zion and was the medical director at Mt. Zion for a humber of years. So —
- Q What did your work you mentioned at UNLV what did that entail?

A We had actually bid successfully and then received the contract to staff the emergency and trauma center. The trauma center was just being built and we needed physicians to essentially work the shifts there, So I would come over and work as an attending physician, supervising the residents and taking care of patients.

Q Over the years have you held other professional positions and major visiting appointments with other agencies?

- A You I guess have my CV in front of you. I-- I don't
- Q Yes. If it would refresh your recollection would that refresh your recollection?

A Sure.

MS. GREENBERGER: May I approach, Your Honor? THE COURT: You may,

THE WITNESS: I guess the one that I left out was Pacific Presbyterian where I ran the occupational medicine department, Chinese Hospital where I also worked as an attending physician.

BY MS. GREENBERGER:

Q You mentioned that you were a medical director for the Palo Alto Fire Department, What does that entail?

A In order to provide state of the art paramedic service, at least In California, the paramedics have to function under the license of a physician. So at the basic level, it's the person who the paramedics essentially work under the license of.

In my case, I started working with the fire department in 1980 actually, when I was a first-year medical student. I started doing ride-a-longs with the paramedics, started doing teaching with regard to drugs and injuries and crime scene maintenance and those sorts of things, and was asked by the fire chief, who was appointed, to become the medical director for them, with the expectation that I would be more active. That I would continue to do ride-a-longs, I would be active in education, and, you know, also help them stock

X11-28

their ambulances with drugs and do all the other things that medical directors have to do.

One of the big pieces is — you know, paramedics bring patients to a hospital and then the patient sort of gets taken over by the system at the hospital. And when things go right, they point fingers. And when things go wrong, they point fingers. And you need a liaison that can answer the questions and sort of balance some of the finger pointing so that when there are questions of, for instance, why did it take so long to bring this patient who was in this massive motor vehicle accident with all the metal crushed around him — why did it take twenty minutes to get him from the scene to the hospital? You know — well, you know, actually it took about nineteen minutes to get the patient out of that crusted crushed pile of metal and then, you know, in about a minute we traveled the three miles to the hospital to get him to you. So it's a matter of dealing with some of those perceptions and making sure that perception and reality match a little bit more closely.

Q Did you also perform or hold a position of medical director with Bayshore Ambulance and San Francisco Ambulance over the years?

A Yes. I'm still medical director for Bayshore Ambulance, Specifically, they have a critical care transport

X11-29

x11-27

unit and have nurses that staff that critical care transport unit. In order to have a CCT with nurses, the nurses have to function under a physician's license and so I'm the physician. The job entails reviewing calls, acting as a liaison between the hospitals and the ambulance, as well as the receiving and sending facility, and sometimes just answering questions with regard to should we take this patient or not. If we do take him, should we put him on a ventilator or not, can we give him additional sedation because they seem to be jumping around a lot in the back of the ambulance. Those sorts of questions. And it was a similar situation with San Francisco Ambulance.

Q Are you board certified by the American College of Emergency Medicine?

A Yes.

Q Are you board certified as a forensic medical examiner?

A As well as a forensic -- well, this is sort of what I was talking aboutearlier. Board certified in both forensic medicine and as a fbrensic examiner.

Q And that encompasses the field of forensic pathology, correct?

A Correct,

Q And also injury reconstruction?

A Yes.

X11-30

Q Have you achieved awards and honors over the years? For example, Tau Beta Pi Engineering Honor Society?

A Well, I was -- yeah, I was Phi Beta Kappa and Tau Beta Pi, Tau Beta Pi is sort of the engineering equivalent of Phi Beta Kappa r

Q And you have received departmental honors in various fields of molecular cellular developmental biology?

A Yes,

Q Have you authored articles for publication?

A Yes'.

Q What subjects would those be?

A Well, I think one of the first ones was with regard to the autopsy which is — actually goes way back. But it — it was an article that supported the very difficult but necessary part of medicine which is, you know, when you're a medical student or a resident or an attending physician, and something goes wrong, you have a requirement to figure out what that was, because otherwise you'll just make the same mistake again.

This was an article about how residents and medical students can gently but persuasively convince families to allow an autopsy so that they can learn from all of the things that happened both in terms of pathology and disease as well as medical treatment.

Q Have you also written chapters in books?

A Yes.

Q In addition to medicine and the work you do with the hospitals and the paramedics and fire department, have you patented any inventions?

A Yes,

Q How many?

A I have approximately two hundred patents pending, just short of a hundred issued.

Q Can you give us a sample of what types of inventions?

A Well, one of the first companies that I started is a company that's public now. It's called Venus. One of the procedures that people had was called vein stripping, where if you have a varicose vein that's painful or one that you don't like how it looks, they literally go in with a device that looks like a wire, and attach something on the end of it and then literally rip it out of your leg. That's called vein stripping, And then, you know, they hold a bunch of packs on it for awhile because it bleeds a lot and it takes several weeks to recover from it. And I said, you know, this is sort of barbaric, there's got to be a better way to do it. So I invented a catheter that you can put in through a very small needle puncture at the ankle, after anesthetizing it, and then you put energy from radio frequency generators into that vein, the vein heats up

X11-32

and closes off, and instead of having to rip it out, you just pull the catheter gently down through the vein, it closes the vein and you're back to work the same day in some cases, or the next day.

Q Do these patents that you've invented -- are they for all different parts of the body and all types of different injuries and diseases?

A Let's see, I've got a vein system, a asthma system, an emphysema system, urinary incontinent system, So I -- I actually literally have one of those visible man sort of skeleton things on the back of my door and I look at, okay, I haven't done anything there yet, why don't we think about something for the heart or something for the lungs or something for the other areas. So it's -- I haven't done anything twice yet except the lungs,

Q Have you developed a number of nonprofit and profit entities to raise money for medical purposes?

A As far as I know, they're all for profit They're all companies. Actually for about a ten-year period, I was with a venture capital firm called Med Ventures, and eight of the companies that I started, I started essentially while I was there, and they funded them and got them to a certain point and then we raised money from other venture capitalists and, in a couple cases, from the pubic markets as well,

XII-33

Q Have you previously qualified as an expert in forensic medicine?

A Yes.

Have you previously qualified as an expert in injury reconstruction?

A Yes.

Q Have you given lectures, seminars and oral presentations in those areas?

A Yes,

Q If you haven't already, can you further describe your expertise in injury reconstruction?

A Well, expound a little bit in order to give just a little bit more flavor, if you will. But when there are certain kinds of injuries, you know, it's sort of incumbent upon us to figure out what caused them, as I spoke about earlier. I've sort of taken it a step further, which is to say I've taken various skeletal parts, you know, upper parts of the arm, lower parts of the arm, same with the legs, same with the ribs, same with the head, sariie with the neck, and actually done testing to determine how much force and which direction it takes in order to injure those kinds of parts.

One of the other things that we see frequently is lacerations. So how much force does it take against a sharp edge of a table, for instance, to get a laceration of the

X11-34

forehead versus the check versus the arm. You know, these are all things that come up because — you know, one of the cases that I was involved with was a 6' tall model who, you know, one person said was sifting on a stool and was drunk and fell off the stool and got a laceration of the forehead, and, you know, other witnesses said that actually what happened was this guy came and said you're in my chair and pushed her out of the chair and she got a laceration. So the question was, could she fall off the chair and get the laceration. And you do the calculatiolis knowing how much force it takes to get that kind of a laceration and you find that in fact she had to be pushed. She couldn't have fallen\_ So it's that sort of thing.

MS. GREENBERGER: Your Honor, at this time we would move to qualify Dr. Laufer in the area of forensic medicine which encompasses forensic pathology, and specifically the field of injury reconstruction, traumatic injury, biomedical engineering\_

MR. KEPHART: May I take him on voir-dire, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR, KEPHART: Showing what's been marked as State's Proposed Exhibit 260 to defense,

THE COURT: 260?

MR. KEPHART: Yes, May I approach, Your Honor?

X11-35

### **VOIR-DIRE EXAMINATION**

BY MR. KEPHART:

Q Doctor, I'm showing you what's been marked as State's Proposed Exhibit 260. Do you recognize what that is?

A It looks like a copy of my curriculum vitae.

MR. KEPHART: Your Honor, Ill move to admit State's Proposed Exhibit 260.

MS. GREENBERGER: No objection.

THE COURT: Granted.

(State's Exhibit 260, admitted)

BY MR. KEPHART:

Q Doctor, you have testified here, just now, in reference to your qualifications that you are an emergency room trauma surgeon?

A Yes.

Q And you've been doing that for how long now?

A About -- I started my training in 1980, so -- I was licensed in 1986, finished my residency in 1988.

Q Okay. So as a actual trauma surgeon -- or doing trauma surgery and emergency type of medical procedures, was in, according to your CV, 1988?

A Actually as an emergency physician, yes.

Q Okay. And that was at Stanford University?

A I did my residency in Los Angeles.

X11-36

Q Okay. And when you became licensed as a doctor, when was that?

A 1986, 1987.

Q Okay. So in 1983 you weren't a doctor at that time but you were giving advanced cardiac life support instructions at that time?

A Correct- I was a medical student.

Q Okay. And your CV's pretty full with regards to life support instructor, trauma life support provider, basic trauma life support instructor, pediac advanced life support provider, certified base station physician, Los Angeles -- is that what we're -- when you testify about that, you're talking about -- like you were using Engine 51, that movie Engine 51 — you're at a base and somebody like a paramedic or emergency medical individual out at a scene would call in and talk to you and you would give them instructions?

A Correct. Yes.

Q Like when to start IVs and maybe put a supine board on him or put something around his neck or --

A Right

Q -- to support his head? Okay. And you said that in 1992 you were board certified as American College of Emergency Medicine. Is that correct?

A I don't think I said 1992 but that's right,

XII-37

2

3

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q Well, that's on your -- on your --

A Yes.

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

3

4

7

9

10

11

12

13

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Q Okay. And the American College of Emergency Medicine — you said that you were kind of part of that when you got started? Is that right?

- A I'm not sure exactly what you're asking.
- Q Well, you said in the beginning -- 'cause they were asking about your fellowship, what the percentage was, and you said that you kind of started with this program. You started with them. Is that not true, that you didn't help start them?
- A I don't think I said that I started the American 
  College of Emergency Physicians, I think what I said was that I was one of the first thirty members -- a charter member of the American College of Forensic Medicine.

Q Okay, So, but with regards to what's required to become an American College of Emergency Medicine individual, you have to be a licensed doctor? Is that right?

A Yes

Q And you have to do what else?

A In the case of that, you have to do a board certification process which involves a written examination as well as an oral examination. And you have to do a residency. And be certified by your residency program as being qualified.

X11-38

Q Okay. Then you say that you're a fellow of the American College of Emergency Physicians. Is that the same program?

A It's different actually. In order to become a fellow, you have to be an academic. Essentially you have to write papers, and you have to be in an academic institution and —

Q Same program though? Same people, American College, I mean, that's -- if I was looking at that —

- A It's actually different. The board is the American Board of Emefgency Medicine or ABEM. And the fellowship is through the American College of Emergency Physicians, So it is two different organizations.
- Q Okay, And then there's national advanced life support provider. And then fellow -- American College of Forensic Medical Examiners. Okay. And then you said you were affiliate with the faculty of the Northern California basic trauma life support, California medical license in 1987. And in 1990 you got your Nevada medical license?

A Correct,

Q And then you were talking about UNLV Hospital. Is that -- you're talking about here in Las Vegas?

A Yes,

Q Okay. UNLV Hospital, would that be the same as the UMC Hospital?

A Yes.

Q University Medical Center, It's not University of Nevada Las Vegas Hospital, right?

A You're right.

Q Okay, And I asked you about emergency room trauma surgeon and you said you were. Are you also a forensic pathologist?

- A I am not, per se, a forensic pathologist, no,
- Q Okay. Do you know any reason why we would have been told that you were a forensic pathologist?

A Sometimes people get caught up in the idea that forensic medicine involves forensic pathology, as I was saying earlier,

Q Okay. So you're not a member of the American Board of Pathology?

A Correct,

Q And so you wouldn't have been involved in a fiveyear residency requirement in pathology?

A Correct. Actually when I was training, it was a three-year requirement. It's changed now You have to do two years of primary care which obviously I did do.

Q And then three years —

A And then three years of pathology.

Q Okay, And then you have to do a year of fellowship

XII-40

in forensic pathology? Correct?

A To be boarded in forensic pathology, yes.

Q And you haven't done that?

A Correct,

Q Now, you say that -- in your curriculum vitae, that from 1999 to present, you were the medical director for California EMS Academy. Is that Emergency Medical Service Academy or something?

A It's actually a paramedic school. Right. So it's California Emergency Medical Services Academy.

Q Similar to -- I mean, you've kept your line of expertise similar to the base station position type of — where you're helping or working with emergency medical technicians?

A Yes, that's certainly part of what I do.

Q Okay. And 2001 you were the medical director for the Bayshore Ambulance. 2005 to the present you're medical director of the Palo Alto Fire Department.

A Correct.

Q And you indicated in your curriculum vitae that that's actually an administrative responsibility in all three of those -- except -- I'm sorry, Palo Alto Fire Department, Bayshore Ambulance and San Francisco Ambulance. You've had administrative positions in them?

A That I have administrative responsibilities, yes.

XII-41

A Yeah, Actually, in my case, it's everything from lecturing -- I give approximately twelve lectures a year. I do an autopsy lab actually for the paramedics and show them injuries and reconstruction, as well as how to place lines, IVs, chest tubes, that sort of thing,

Q Doctor, do you do -- in the autopsy labs, are you given - 'cause in your curriculum vitae you talk about cadaver classes and that. In your autopsy labs are you given a cadaver to use basically as an exhibit to show individuals, EMTs and paramedics -

A Yes.

Q So you're not actually doing an autopsy in those particular settings, are you?

A Well, actually we do, Because we do a number of things to them and then we do the autopsy afterward in order to show what thOSe<sup>-</sup>things that we did to them, did to them.

Q Is this something -- is it -- pardon my words, but is it a fresh cadaver? Meaning that no one else has done anything on it

A Yes,

Q Okay.

X11-42

A Yeah, In fact, it's fresh frozen, specifically,

Q And are those cadavers that are provided to you, individuals that have been subject to murders?

A Not in those cases, at least not as far as we know.

Q Because that would be more in tune with what a forensic pathologist would be looking at?

A Correct. Or a coroner. Even more specifically, coroner medical examiner.

Q Okay, You -- you've indicated that you have about 200 patents ori different devices that help us medically, and you went through a bunch of those. Okay. How long have you been involved in that?

A Since -- well, I joined MedVentures in 1993 so that's when it started, But I had a number of inventions probably dating back to the mid-80s.

Q Okay. Isn't -

THE COURT: I want to make a clarification. I understood the prior testimony to be about a hundred patents and less than 200 pending patents?

THE WITNESS: That's correct.

MR\_KEPHART: Is that right? Okay. I heard 200. So I heard the number but just heard it out of -

THE WITNESS: Sure. Yeah. It's -- I think the actual number now is 86 or 88 issued in the US. There's

another eighty-some outside the U,S, as foreign patents, sort of going country by country, and then there's another 120 or so, plus or minus, that are pending but haven't had a final office action yet.

BY MR, KEPHART:

Q And these are devices that will help in medical treatment of individuals?

A Diagnosis, treatment -- but, yes, generally they are • things that some physician presumably would buy to use on a patient that had some sickness or injury.

Q Okay. And you said that your primary activity since 1983 involved the creation of the new medical devices to treat patients with common illnesses. Is that still true?

A Hard to know what primary activity is because I do a lot of different things. But certainly most of my income derives from doing those things.

Q I was just using your words, Doctor. Okay.

A Sure,

Q Also, you have indicated on direct by the defense attorney, that you are published. You've been involved in a lot of -- a lot of - you've written chapters, you've written publications. Is that true?

A Yes,

Q Okay, With respect to your patents and the ones

X11-44

pending, you indicate in your CV -- and I need to ask you why you do this - you wrote in here, "My work has been the foundation of at least 50 scientific publications," Is that right?

A Yes.

Q Then your next line is, "I have asked that my name not be listed on these publications in order for the work to stand on its own without hampering its commercial or scientific strength." What do you mean by that, Doctor? Do you mean that if you -- if your name shows up, it's going to affect the publication? Is it going to make it less credible? Is it going to make it more credible? Is it going to affect your reputation? What? What does that mean?

A Yeah,

Q Is that a fair question though?

A It's a fair question, In 1993 when I joined the business world, it was explained to me that having the name of a company on a scientific publication reduces its credibility. And that because I was sort of the founder, in a company sense, that when we had a choice between having the world's experts in pulmonology, in respiratory medicine, in neurology, in neurosurgery, in neurology, writing papers, that they would like the full academic credit, if you will, for those papers, even though in many cases I was the one that wrote the papers. So I pulled back into the background and said, great, go get your

X11-45

academic credibility, and I'm happy to help you with that because it helps the company succeed as well,

Q That's kind of what you mean also on the report of clinical activities, you say "I have tried to keep a low profile and have shunned the spotlight in favor of the clinical investigators who will make the products commercially successful?"

A Correct,

Q So you've stayed in the background?

A Right

Q Now, you've indicated that -- I remember the defense had asked you if -- do you have any specific training in forensic pathology, and you quite — you didn't quite answer that question, You kind of went around and said, well, you know, forensic pathology also involves forensic medicine. My question to you, here, is not what it involves. My question is do you have specific training in forensic pathology?

A Yes.

Q And whkis that?

A Well, I trained with some of the probably better known names. Tom Noguchi, the folks at the Colorado and California Bureaus of Investigation. With the people who ran the autopsy lab, the anatomic pathologists, as well as the histological and psydological [sic] pathologists, So I spent

X11-46

years learning from them how to do autopsies, how to look at tissues, how to look at injuries, how they look at things, and, you know, I think they would say today that they've also learned from me.

Q You also said that -- I think you said from a very young age -- I believe you used the age of 9?

A Fourteen.

Q Fourteen, That you liked looking at these kind of areas and you were interested in it -- and that was in the area of forensic mddicine, I think, when they were asking those questions. And my question to you, is, if you liked it ever since you were fourteen years old, why haven't you specialized in forensic pathology?

A Yeah, I was heavily recruited by the pathologists, as well as the folks at the crime labs who wanted me to do exactly that, but I actually like taking care of live people too. So that was really the reason. There were a lot of people wanting me to go into neurosurgery as well, but I decided having a nice general speciality like emergency medicine was the place where I got to do all sorts of things,

MR, KEPHART: Court's indulgence, Your Honor, THE COURT: Yes,

MR. KEPHART: A couple more questions, Your

Honor.

BY MR. KEPHART:

Q Can you tell me the difference between forensic pathology and forensic medicine?

A Well, forensic pathology is sort of part of forensic medicine. As are a bunch of other specialities, as I enumerated earlier. So forensic pathologists work with the accident reconstructionists and the injury deconstructionists and if it works the way it's supposed to, they all put the picture together in order to get the whole picture.

Q Okay, You would agree that a cardiac specialist would be part of medical doctor?

A Correct.

Q So in the big picture, forensic pathology is part of forensic medicine?

A Yes,

Q But forensic pathology is a specialization in forensic medicine?

A Yes.

Q Kind of like a cardiac doctor in medicine?

A Right. Or even, you know, the anatomic pathologist versus the forensic pathologist, where an anatomic pathologist may do the autopsies for people who die in a hospital but the forensic pathologist because — you know, let's face it, they spend half their life testifying in court -- have that as part of

X11-48

their speciality as well, and they're looking at bodies who die generally due to some sort of a unexpected event as opposed to perhaps, you know, a medical event,

Q Okay. Now, you also testified that you've done -- I think in one part of your testimony you said over a hundred autopsies in four years, And I think you said over a period of your career, about 200 autopsies? Is that right?

A Round numbers. Two to three hundred, somewhere in there.

Q When you were contacted by the defense to testify in this case and provide a curriculum vitae, you knew that this involved a murder case, is that correct?

A Yes. I think at that point I -- I knew a lot about the case,

Q Okay. And do you know why you didn't put in your CV that you -- that you've conducted any autopsies? Your curriculum vitae,

A I think when I was asked for a CV, I provided the CV that was the most recent CV I had, So I didn't modify it or change it for the purposes of the trial,

MR, KEPHART: Your Honor, at this time I'm going to challenge Dr. Laufer in reference to the notice of him being a forensic pathologist.

THE COURT: The motion pending that Ms.

X11-47

Greenberger brought was for him to be accepted as an expert in forensic pathology, is the Court's recollection. That that was the motion brought before Mr. Kephart asked to take the witness on voir-dire.

MS, GREENBERGER: That, and the field of injury reconstruction which would both be encompassed by forensic medicine of which he is board certified,

THE COURT: Is your motion to accept him as an expert in forensic medicine?

MS. GREENBERGER: Which would encompass forensic pathology and the field of injury reconstruction.

MS. GREENBERGER: May I respond?

THE COURT: Yes,

MS. GREENBERGER: Your Honor, my understanding of the standard for qualification is that he has some expertise in the field above and beyond what is possessed by the average juror. And by that standard, we believe this witness is well qualified in both of these fields of forensic pathology and injury reconstructiar, which are both encompassed in the field of forensic medicine. He's qualified a number of times in these areas,

THE COURT: The Court will grant the motion to accept him as an expert in the area of forensic medicine encompassing injury reconstruction and forensic pathology,

XII-50

MS, GREENBERGER: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: At this time we'll be taking our lunch recess and resuming at 1:30. You may step down from the stand until that time.

Ladies and gentlemen, at 1:30 please be in the hallway and the bailiff will return you to the courtroom. During the recess you're admonished not to talk or converse among yourselves nor with anyone else on any subject connected with the triaL You're not to read, watch or listen to any report of dr commentary on the trial or any person connected with the trial by any medium of information, including, without limitation, newspaper, television, radio and Internet. And you're not to form or express any opinion on any subject connected with the trial until the case is finally submitted to you. The Court's in recess till 1:30.

(Court Recessed at 12:17:20 p.m., until 2:26:17 p.m.)
(Recording Malfunction)

THE BAILIFF: Department II is again in session. Please be seated.

THE COURT: The record shall reflect that we are resuming trial in State versus Lobato, under C177394, again in the presence of the defendant and her three counsel, the two prosecuting attorneys, the ladies and gentlemen of the jury, and the defendant's first witness, Dr. Laufer, who remains on

the stand under oath,

The court recorder has advised me that what we did since coming back from lunch got recorded up until the last bench conference when Mr. Kephart asked to approach the bench, that that did not get recorded, and also the Court's reading of the admonishment to the ladies and gentlemen of the jury did not get recorded.

So the Court makes the record at this time that the -admonishment was given to the jury at the last recess, the same admonishment that the Court has given throughout the trial was given. And we're proceeding forward, and Mrs. Greenberger may resume.

 $\label{eq:MS.GREENBERGER: Thank you, Your Honor, May I approach the Clerk?}$ 

THE COURT: You may.

MS, GREENBERGER: Thank you.

DIRECT EXAMINATION (Continued)

## BY MS. GREENBERGER:

Q Dr, Laufer, before we broke, you were discussing that it was your opinion that scissors may have inflicted the injuries in the first photograph we're looking at. Is that correct?

A Yes.

MS, GREENBERGER: May I approach the witness,

X11-52

Your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes.

BY MS. GREENBERGER:

Q Showing you what's been marked as Defense Proposed Exhibit CCCC. Do these appear to be scissors?

A They do,

MS. GREENBERGER: Your Honor, could we move for admission of the scissors into evidence at this time?

MR. KEPHART: Could I see them?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. KEPHART: No objection, Your Honor.

MS. GREENBERGER: Thank you,

THE COURT: Granted.

(Defendant's Exhibit CCCC, admitted)

MS. GREENBERGER: May I approach the witness?

THE COURT: You may.

### BY MS. GREENBERGER:

Q Can you demonstrate for the jury how these scissors were used to inflict the injury which you described?

A Well, there are two points, and if they were partially closed, maybe partially open, either held like this or held in the fingers like this, in sort of a stabbing type motion with the thumb being up and striking the thumb,

Q And can you describe for the record since the

X11-53

recorder can't pick up the motions you're making, which hand you were holding it in and the —

A It sort of doesn't matter really because the defensive injuries that we have here are on the right hand, and the assailant could have been holding them either in the left or the right hand in order to cause these injuries,

Q Is it your testimony that the edges of those scissors would be consistent -- consistent with the injury to the thumb?

A Not necessarily the edges. I think these were caused more by the tips. And these scissors actually have fairly rounded tips so it's more likely that the scissors that caused this injury were slightly more pointed.

Q Is there anything else significant about this photograph?

A Only as we described.

Q Showing you what's been marked as -- and admitted as Exhibit 00. Can you describe what this is and the significance to you?

A This is .tib?

Q Yes.

A So this is again the right thumb. This is now the back of the thumb, again, showing the area between the furthest-out knuckle and the closer knuckle, And what this shows is actually two injuries, one here and another here. And

but at least conscious enough to localize a threat and then attempt to defend against it

Q Do you have any opinion how this injury was caused?

A Only as I've indicated already,

Q Anything else significant about this photo?

A No

Q Showing you what's been marked and admitted as -Defendant's RR. Can you tell the ladies and gentlemen of the jury the significance of this photograph?

A Obviously I apologize for the graphic nature of the photograph. But there are actually several things going on, so let me first talk about the things to exclude. This is -- all of these little areas here that I've circled, are areas where the skin is breaking down. These are all due to the early stages of decomposition.

Q Is that called slippage?

A Yes, There is also discoloration in this area. Again, without biopsies or histology, difficult to say if that's hemorrhage or if it's just the way his skin is colored, But it could be -- it could be suggestive of a bruise.

Q Have you had an opportunity to review the histology slides taken in this case?

A I have, yes.

X11-54

these actually look as though they are more linear, meaning that they have more of a straight character here and here. There's also a bit of a -- a very superficial laceration where I put that last line, right here. And those indicate that the person who was being attacked may have put their thumb in between the scissors and actually caused these injuries now with the edge of the scissors as opposed to the point,

Q So you believe that this injury is well -- appears to have been made by a scissor blade?

A It's tonsistent with that, yes,

Q Is it consistent with a knife?

A It could be although what would make it easier to separate the two, would be seeing the other side of the thumb. Unfortunately that was not photographed.

Q Is there anything else significant with this picture with regard to bleeding-type injury?

A There does appear to be hemorrhage in both of these lacerations indicating that it's most likely that they preceded death.

Q Can you tell whether this injury was inflicted while the decedent was still conscious or not?

A It is most likely that he was conscious because it requires some active movement of the hands in order to fend off blows. So they may not have been completely conscious

X11-56

Q And was there any histological slides of this particular area?

A The slides I reviewed were not marked as to from where they were taken. There were some histological sections of skin but they didn't say where they came from, So I don't know if there was any section from this particular area or not,

Then there are these linear sort of areas where the skin has been opened. They appear to be of a similar depth and they appear to be fairly continuous with one another, although as you as see from the two lines, it may be that the first is where I drew the first line and another is where I drew the second line, This is very similar to the kinds of injuries that we see which we call straddle injuries where someone comes down on a fence or someone comes down on a bucking bull, that sort of thing, and it actually causes the skin to split open. It's also consistent with the seam of a pair of pants when someone gets kicked if they're not wearing underwear.

Q Have you seen this type of injury in the emergency room?

A Several times, Several hundred times probably.

Q Is this consistent with a blunt force impact?

A Taken together with potential area of bruising here and splitting of the skin, it is consistent with something like a kick or a fall onto a rounded object sort of like the toe of a

foot

1 2

Q Can you describe for the jury what a blunt force injury is?

A It's easiest to describe by saying what it isn't. So a stab or a cut or a gunshot wound would be considered penetrating or lacerating or incising injury, and this is due to force from something that isn't concentrated in one area It's actually more of a diffuse force,

Q We've heard testimony that this injury would be consistent with a knife. Do you agree or disagree?

A I think the testimony you're referring to — and correct me if I'm wrong — was that it was multiple slashes with a knife.

#### Q Correct

A And it's very difficult to get to different depths of the -- or to the same depth of the skin with multiple slashes of a knife. You tend to go some deeper, some more superficial. It's certainly possible but it's less likely that that's the mechanism.

Q Do you recall if you reviewed evidence about whether the decedent in this case had underwear on?

A I did not see any photographs of underwear. And I didn't see it described anywhere that he had underwear.

Q If the decedent had been kicked, would this injury

A No.
Q Showing you what's been marked and admitted as
Defendant's QQ. What is the significance of this photograph?

of the pictures that I saw.

A Well, I guess I should first give sort of the lay of the land. So, left leg is here. Right leg is here. The genitals is and were there. The left testicle is in this area, the right is in this area. And there's an injury that's fairly obvious where the penis was removed. There's also a swab that's in the rectum which was presumably placed by crime scene technicians or coroner's technicians. And then there's several findings. You

see that there is clotting of blood here, and you see that there is more of a sort of superficial deposition of a dark substance without clots specifically.

21 is more of a sort of superficial dep
 22 without clots specifically.
 23 Q Why is that significant?
 24 Well, typically what ha

Well, typically what happens -- as we've all seen,

I did not see the rectum or intestines shown on any

Q Anything else of significance in this photo?

A Well, the one question that I guess you didn't ask

which is could it have been caused by scissors, and I suppose

it is possible that this one area was caused by one blade and

this other area was caused by the other blade, with someone

sort of going like this along the surface of the skin.

Anything else with this slide?

X11-58

be more likely to be caused with or without underwear?

A Well, it's most likely to be with pants and without underwear,

Q And would the seam of the pants make this kind of injury?

A Yes. And I've seen that on a number of occasions. The seam is sort of double-rolled fabric, which is then sewn with a bias machine, and that causes a sort of raised edge, if you will, which when it's impacted like with a kick, can cause a concentration tif the force on that area and cause the skin to split.

Q Are you able to see bleeding under the skin in this picture?

A Well, in this area that I circled earlier there is darkness which could be bleeding under the skin, But again, without histology or further evidence, it's impossible for me to say anything more about it.

Q Do you see any evidence of a rectal injury?

A Not on this picture. The rectum is deep to the anus and so would have to be inside, so I wouldn't be able to see a rectal injury, per se.

Q Do you recall in this case with the hundreds of photographs you've reviewed, seeing any evidence of a rectal injury?

X11-60

people draw blood, the blood if it doesn't have a anticoagulant in the tube, forms a clot, and then if you spin it or separate it, the clot goes to one side and the serum which is what's left after the clot, goes to another. And blood is sort of like a match, it only burns once. So if you have clots, it means that it was essentially fresh bleeding from someone who hadn't clotted yet. When you start seeing deposition of large areas of serum, as here, and the area here and in other places, that indicates that the blood had already clotted, presumably in the person or on the ground or on some other object, and then been deposited. So this is kind of a mix of fresh and less fresh blood.

Q What do the A and B that are labeled, reflect?

A The A -- and I think we have another picture of this coming up -- is a laceration or injury to the scrotum, And B is an area of fullness within the scrotal sack. That area of fullness could represent swelling, could represent some other injury, The thing that's most important to notice here is that the right testicle is actually up higher than the left. And the reason that you can actually move the testicles up and down is a muscle called the cremasteric muscle which when injured, especially by a stab wound or a laceration, doesn't work. So if you get paralysis of that cremasteric muscle, that testicle actually ends up falling. The typical male has a higher right

X11-59

X11-61

ROUGH DRAFT JURY TRIAL - DAY 12

testicle and a lower left testicle. So this is consistent with typical normal anatomy. If there had been a stab wound here as opposed to some other injury, I would expect that that normal configuration could be different. This just gives further evidence that it's less likely that there was a stab wound to the area.

Q Based on the fact that it's not swollen?

- A Based on the fact that that testicle hasn't dropped,
- Q All right, And just for a moment -- going back to the last slide for a minute, which was RR, do you believe that this injury was inflicted before death?

A I don't have any way of knowing. My understanding from other testimony is that it was not,

And you don't have an opinion one way or the other?

- A Not based on this or the unmarked histology that I saw earlier.
- Q Moving on to Defense Exhibit SS, is this a blown-up version of DefensS.QQ?
- A It certainly shows the same area, in higher magnification.
- Q And what is the significance of this photo? Is it the injury on the scrotum?
  - A There are really a few things. One is that there's a

X11-62

shape to this area of amputation, where the point of the teardrop, if you will, is down toward the feet, and the wider end of the teardrop is up toward the head,

- Q What does the teardrop shape signify?
- A Well, typically when you're cutting something with scissors, you end up compressing the tissue where the scissors meet, and then as you cut through, the tissue ends up spreading. So this signifies that the start of the cut was down in the lower area closer to the testicles and then ended up higher toward Athehead.

Q Would a scissor injury in that area cause a jagged shape?

A Well, when you're using scissors as we all know from cutting paper, if you have to take several cuts at it, you end up sometimes with a little jag every time you move the scissors. So depending on how many swipes it took to get through, it could end up with a jagged shape. There actually is one area, right here, that -- and I'll erase the mark just so you can see it. But that looks as though it sort of started and stopped, as though they went through and then kept going. The other significant aspects of this are that it shows this laceration in the scrotum a little bit more clearly because it's spread a little further. And again, has fairly specific wound edges. But in this case there are actually a lot of things that look to be tears.

Right there, right there, right there, which to me indicates that it's less likely that it was done with a sharp instrument.

The other thing that's significant is you can actually see that this area where the penis was, is somewhat raised. That's significant because it indicates that the tissues — especially the bulva cavernosus, which is the muscle that allows for an erection — did not withdraw down into the rest of the tissue. So it's —

- Q What does that mean?
- A It's unlikely that when this happened the person had an erection,
- Q Does this injury appear to be consistent with something that a knife could have inflicted?

A If you had a really big knife, it's certainly possible that you could sort of lift up and cut across, but it would have to be something that wouldn't require a sawing action. Remember that there was one question from the jury about whether it required a sawing action to do this. A sawing action is the same sort of hesitation marks as we see here, from repositioning. You end up with a more jagged edge along the tissue. So it would take a fairly large knife where — you know, knives don't cut very well pushing down, you actually have to move them, and so you'd have to have a long enough blade that you could get all the way through the tissue in order to

X11-64

generate this injury.

Q Anything else of significance with regard to this slide?

A No.

Q Showing you what's been marked as Defense Exhibit UU, Can you describe what we're looking at?

A This appears to be the right head of the decedent, sort of the top of the head. The eyebrows in this area. The right eye is here, the nose is here, ear is here. There's obviously a measuring device there. And there are multiple injuries that are shown, in addition to slippage again on the skin,

Q The slippage is not injury?

A Correct.

Q What do the arrows depict in this photo?

A There's again a pair of injuries here and here. They are different sizes. The depth of this injury in this area here appears to be slightly deeper than this injury on the other side of the eyebrow. The distance between them is significant. We'll talk about that in a second. But it's -- it's consistent with someone holding scissors sort of like brass knuckles and striking at the forehead in a direction that goes from the top of the head toward the nose,

Q Have you seen this type of injury before in the

X11-65

<u>NV v.. LOBATO</u> 9/26/06

emergency room?

a

A Yes. These --

Q You -- go on,

A Sorry, These scissors are actually not ideal -- and we've got pictures of some other scissors which actually match this injury more closely. And the reason for that is that you can see both handles of these scissors are curved. So what you would end up with is an injury that's deeper in the center and sort of smooth out toward the edges. In this particular case there's actually more of a gouging wound, right here, which suggests that the scissors that were used had a little finger rest on the -- the large handle. Again, very common Fiskar-type scissors. But that's what caused this sort of flat and wider injury, is that it actually gouged into the skin there.

Q You mention that the distance was significant between injuries,

A Right

Q Why is that?

A The sp6'66 between these lacerations was actually r43 centimeters,

Q How did you measure that?

A I actually cut out this ruler and laid it over the injury on a print of the picture. And that would indicate that the spacing between the handles was 4.3 centimeters when the

X11-66

injury occurred. So if someone had a bigger hand, the spacing would be larger. And if someone had a smaller hand, the spacing would be smaller. Now, it's certainly possible to cheat, Right? You can put the scissors into the fingers that are closer together and get the -- the injuries closer. But you can't make this wider than your hand because it only spreads so far -- and still have enough force to cause the injury.

Q So, based on these calculations, are you able to in some way discern the width of the hand of the perpetrator?

A Weir, it would be very unlikely that the person's hand was less than the distance that was necessary to put these handles in the positions of the incision or the lacerations.

Q And in this case that would be 4.3 centimeters?

A Correct. So the distance between the top of this handle and the top of this handle would be 4.3 centimeters, which is roughly the distance between the center of your index finger and the center of your pinkie.

Q Is there anything else of significance with regard to this picture?

A There's obviously another injury, here, which appears to be a blunt force injury. Again, it looks darker sort of like the one that we saw in that sort of anal area. And there's also area here that's dark, area around this one that's dark, all consistent with bruising,

X11-67

Q Can you tell if these injuries were made before death?

A Not from these pictures, no. Although with the bruising into the skin, it's more likely that they were done before death,

Q Anything else of significance to you in this photo? A No.

Q Showing you what's been marked and admitted as - Defense Exhibit TT, What is the significance of this photo?

A This laceration here is what we would describe as a distal base flap laceration, meaning that there's a flap of skin, which I've drawn two little blue lines on, and it's actually connected still to the chin down at the further end away, which we call — you know, you can call it on the chin. So the way that these injuries happen is something catches the skin in this area and starts to tear the skin as it moves through the skin. So it's a blow that occurred in the direction that I just drew with the arrow and it's very consistent with the edge of the scissor handle.

Q Would this be a blunt force injury?

A It's most likely a blunt injury as opposed to a cutting injury just because you do have a fair amount of spread in the tissue here. If it were cutting -- certainly if tissue was under tension, it will spread, but the tissue of the chin is really not

XII-68

under tension. There's a fair amount of space there. So it's more consistent with a blunt force blow than with a cut.

Q Anything else of significance in this injury -- or photo?

A No.

Q Is this a blowup of a previous photo?

A It is. And the only real significance again is it allows me to measure more accurately, again using the ruler that's in the picture,

Q This would be a blowup of Defense Exhibit VV?

MR. KEPHART: What did you say, ma'am? I didn't hear you.

MS. GREENBERGER: This would be a blowup of Defense Exhibit W?

MR. KEPHART: What is the exhibit number? MS. GREENBERGER: W.

MR. KEPHART: No, the exhibit number.

THE COURT: The one that's up right now, what is its number?

MS. GREENBERGER: Its an -- it's a blowup of what's been marked as VV.

THE COURT: But this one hasn't been marked?

MS. GREENBERGER: I think it was just blown up, and so I don't know that we have a printout of it.

NV <u>v. LOBATO</u> 9/26/06

BY MS. GREENBERGER:

Q Did you blow this image up from what was previously shown to you as Exhibit VV?

A Yes.

Q It's the same photograph?

A Correct.

Q And you just used the computer to magnify it?

A Yeah. To zoom it in.

Q And the purpose was just to show a closer view of the injury?

A Correct. And to make the measurement more accurate

Q Showing you Defense Exhibit UU. What is this injury?

A This appears to be an injury on the right anterior neck There's a muscle here called the sternocleidomastoid. It's just on the inside or toward the center of the sternocleidomastoid. And that is where the carotid artery and the jugular vein live, So this is a laceration over and apparently into, according to the autopsy report, those vessels.

Q Is it a pathonemonic injury?

A This injury because of its characteristics, is strongly suggestive of being caused by scissors. In fact, there's nothing else that I know of or that anyone has described,

X11-70

which will cause this injury. This injury goes like this, comes up, and then comes over, which is what you would expect when you have scissors that stab in and then cut. Because the blades actually shear in the center but don't meet like this. They actually cross over. And so you end up with a stair-step pattern which is what's represented here,

Q What is a pathonemonic injury? What is that defined as?

A It really means that it's diagnostic of that which you are searching! So, in this case, there's nothing else other than scissors that can cause it.

Q Is there anything else significant in this photograph?

A There is some obvious bruising along the edges of this, which is consistent with something of a blunt edge.
Usually when you stab with a knife, it causes very little actual bruising along the edges because the tip of the knife is very sharp. But with scissors, they're slightly rounded — maybe less rounded than these but still slightly rounded, and so you get bruising of the skin as the blades puncture through.

Q When you first looked at these injuries, were you looking at them with an eye towards whether a knife could have caused these injuries?

A When I first started looking at these, I was told that a knife caused these. And when I saw them, I said I don't

think a knife caused these,

Q Have you seen numerous injuries to this area in the emergency room, with a knife?

A Yes.

Q And how does that look distinct from what we're looking at now?

A I think we actually have some better pictures of that, later,

Q All right.

A But generally knives come in two flavors, single edge or double edge. Single-edge knives usually have one sharp edge on them that causes a sort of sharp point of a teardrop and a dull edge which cause a dull side to the teardrop, where a double-edge blade causes more of something like a very narrow diamond shape with a sharp edge at both sides and something wider in the middle.

Q Is the significance of this injury, the stair stepping that you called it? Is that significant of a scissor versus a knife?

A That's certainly part of it, as well as the bruising around the incision.

Q Anything else of significance in this photo?

A No,

Q Showing you what's been marked as Defense Exhibit

X11-72

WW. Can you tell us what is significant about this injury and what we're looking at?

A Sure. So this appears to be a set of fairly superficial abrasions, maybe even laceration on this side where it's a bit deeper, more superficial here. You can see that it's deeper in this corner and in this corner than it is where it starts. And that is consistent with an open pair of scissors coming into the area, and then as the tissue starts to interact with the tip of the scissors it gouges in a little bit and causes the distant parts of these to be deeper than where it starts.

Q Is there discontinuity in the center where the arrow is pointing?

A There is, yes.

Q And what is that due to?

A Well, when you hit a round structure -- and use my bottle as sort of a demonstration tool here. So you hit something like the neck, and you can't get all the way in, the center doesn't get touched by the blades, and so that area ends up being untouched and therefore discontinuity.

Q Does this appear to be a typical type of attack that you've seen in the emergency room?

A Well, this could be one of a couple things. It could be sort of a glancing blow where someone sort of moves away and doesn't get the full force. Or one of the other things that

XU-73

we see sometimes -- it's less common here than in it is in, say, South Africa, where someone actually tries to injure the spinal cord by cutting it

Q And where is the spinal cord connected, if you can show us?

A The spinal cord is actually right in this area.

Q And is that something -- you mentioned in South Africa -- is that a common objective or —

A Actually in South Africa, at least during apartheid, it was fairly common to take a bicycle spoke, sharpen one tip of it, and actually jam it down into the spinal canal and sort of do this, like you might pith a frog, and essentially kill the person by causing them to stop breathing because they get a spinal injury,

Q Or at least paralyze and immobilize?

A Yes, I mean high up, like this, paralyzing respiratory muscles and -- almost certain death.

Q Would you characterize this as a directed type of injury?

A This one is a bit difficult to say because it could just have been a glancing blow where they were trying to do something and missed. But the fact that someone is hitting on the back of the neck is certainly suggestive that they're trying to cause injury in whatever places they can.

X11-74

Q When you say glancing blow, glancing blow with scissors in hand or glancing blow with a fist? Or a sharp object?

A Sharp object consistent with scissors,

Q Anything else of significance in this photograph?

A No.

Q Showing you what's been marked as >0C. Can you tell us what we're looking at?

A So this is on the left side of the neck, Chin is here, back of the netk is here, shoulder is in this area, the ear is up here. And this is another injury where it's stair stepped. There's a smear of blood right here -- which if we go back to the previous picture for a second -- this injury is actually underneath the measuring device, and so the smear is most likely due to the fact that the measuring device, here, was on top of the blood and then sort of smeared it, So I would suggest —

Q A transfer?

A Yes. I would suggest sort of ignoring that smear, per se. But the important point is that you have the injury that goes down and like this with a stair step.

Q And was this one of the injuries that's been characterized as a fatal injury?

A My understanding is that this injury actually cut

through the carotid artery on this side.

Q And the carotid artery is a major vessel in the neck?

A It's one of two major vessels. You have one on the left and one on the right. You of course have vertebrals but the carotids are the ones that provide most of the blood to the front and sides of the brain,

Q Would you describe this as a calculated injury?

A It certainly appears that it was either a very lucky one or someone knew what they were cutting. The fact that they tried on both sides to get these vessels, indicates that they were probably more good than lucky,

Q Anything else of significance? You pointed out that it's a stair-step injury. Do you believe this was caused with scissors?

A That is the most likely instrument, yes.

Q Anything else on this photo?

A Only for -- for reference again to show this is the abrasion that we talked about in the previous picture,

Q Moving on to what's been marked as Defense Exhibit YY. What does this depict?

A Well, my understanding is that one of the questions that came up is whether the decedent's pants were up or down at the time that the other major injuries to the head and other parts of the body occurred. I think we all would agree

X11-76

that the pants were probably down when the penis was cut off. But prior to that, the question is whether a lot of these other injuries on the head and on the abdomen, occurred with the pants up or down. And what it depicts is that there's a lot of blood with streaking and staining, sort of up here, and then there's actually a place, right there, where it stops. And the pants can certainly act as a dam where the blood when it's running down, hits the pants — the top of the pants waist and then is redirected downward.

Q Is that — would you call that a line of demarcation?

A Well, it certainly appears to be that. Now, if it were just on one side, I'd probably be a little bit more skeptical. But if you can go sort of two forward —

Q This is just where you're showing you believe the pants were fastened?

A Right. So — one -- one more.

Q Showing you what has been marked as Defense Exhibit YY,

A So here now is the other side --

Q I'm sorry, ZZ.

A Here's now the other side of the victim. And again, you see an area of demarcation, here, where there's blood above it, and there's an area of clearing below it. Now, certainly there's some blood here and here and here, but that

X11-77

looks more as though it was sort of serum deposited later. And again, my understanding is that the legs were at least in contact with plastic which would cause this sort of a configuration and look. So at least at the time that the bleeding was active, it seems to have stopped right here, and again consistent with the top of the pants, now on both sides of the body acting as a dam, therefore more consistent with the other injuries happening with the pants up than down,

Q And you consider this because you were trying to reconstruct the pattern of injuries in the order the injuries occurred?

A Correct,

Q Is there anything else of significance in either of these photos?

A No,

1/

///

Q And does the yellow line that's inserted, that reflects where you see -- is it a cleaner area than below the yellow line? Is that what —

A Correct'As we described, right.

Q Showing you Defense Exhibit AAA, What does this reflect?

A So my understanding is that these were the trousers that were worn by the decedent at the time of these injuries. And they show several things. One is that there's a general

BY MS, GREENBERUER:

Q What is your opinion of why the blood in that area is significant in terms of the pants being up or down at the time of the amputation?

A Well, let me actually state my answer slightly differently because I think the amputation required -- unless there are holes in the pants that I can't see -- that the pants were down. So it appears because of the concentration of blood around the fasteners, that the hands of whoever was undoing the fasteners were bloody.

Q Is blood a sticky substance?

A Especially when it's drying, yes.

Q Does the blood appear to be crusted?

A Well, the -- these pictures, from my understanding, were taken at the autopsy suite several hours after the victim was found. So the blood appears to be dry. And in that sense, yes, it is crusted.

Q Does there appear to be a lot of blood or a little blood on the pants?

A A lot or a little is all relative. It's more than I would want to have on mine and it's probably more than he wanted to have on his. But it certainly doesn't account for all of his blood loss given that he had other major injuries to the great vessels.

X11-80

X11-78

concentration of blood around the fasteners. So around the button and around the zipper.

Q Why is that significant?

A It suggests at least to me that since we know the pants were pulled down to amputate the penis, that the blood on the hands of the attacker were now trying to unfasten the zipper and the button in order to pull the pants down,

MR. KEPHART: Your Honor, I'm going to pose an objection with his conclusionary remark that we know the pants were pulled down in order to amputate his penis. That would be his opinion but we don't know that. The jury certainly doesn't know that from other testimony, So I'm going to object to that form of his answer.

THE COURT: Counsel approach.

(Bench Conference)

THE COURT: He testified to it as if it were a fact rather than -- rather than being asked does he have an opinion as to how it would be done, So I'm going to sustain the objection because of the form of the question and then you can reask it.

(End of Bench Conference)

THE COURT: The objection's sustained and Ms. Greenberger will rephrase.

X11-79

Q Were you able to determine whether there were any visible types of cuts in the pants?

A I don't see any visible cuts to the pants, no

Q Are the blood that we're -- is the blood that we're seeing consistent with a blood transfer?

A Yes.

Q And what is that?

A It's when blood is on one surface and is transferred on to another surface. So from hands to trousers.

Q Anything else of significance with regard to this photograph?

A This sort of small pant pocket is folded down and the front pocket appears to be folded a bit as well, That would be consistent with someone using that as leverage to pull the pants down, I don't know who that person is however.

Q Anything else of significance?

A There are other areas of blood, obviously, on the pants as well. They appear to be at fairly regular intervals suggesting that the pants may have been sort of crumpled up, if you will, It's also possible that these were places of transfer where a person was sort of transferring blood again from the hands or some other object onto the pants. It could have been on the ground where they were transferred but it does not appear that the blood soaked through from the inside of

the pants to the outside. It appears that it was deposited on the outside

Q And is it your opinion that the heavy concentration of blood around the fastener is consistent with the hands of the assailant being used to pull the pants down?

A It is consistent with the hands of someone who had blood all over them pulling or at least opening the pants. One other thing that's worthwhile to point out here, that is that — as I recall, Dr. Simms gave testimony about rapid oozing from the scrotal wound. Rapid oozing to me indicates that you have at least some significant blood loss and that that would presumably deposit if the pants were still on the person, into the pants. I don't see any evidence of any blood soaking through in the area where I would have expected to see that.

Q Do you have an opinion whether the scrotum injury was pre-mortem or postmortem?

A I don't have any independent basis on which to make that assessrt-i6nt. I know that others have testified that it was pre-mortem but I don't have any independent basis on which to make that judgment.

Q Did it appear there was significant blood loss from the scrotum injury?

A Well, again, on the places that you would have

before, of the scrota' injury and the sort of pen-anal injury,, There is the seam that we talked about which may well be the thing that caused that pen-rectal injury.

Q You've heard testimony that one of the -- according to Dr. Simms, one of the first injuries was the injury to the scrotum. Do you agree or disagree with that?

A There's certainly a lot more bleeding around the facial wounds than there is around the scrotal injury. So if you go by blood pressure equaling amount of blood loss with the injury that's caused, I would say that the head injuries were more likely to be early. But, again, it may have been within such a short period — you know, the time interval between one and the other may have been so short that it may be sort of an irrelevant separation of issues.

Q Are you able to determine how quickly blood dries?

A Well, not from this.

MR. KEPHART: Your Honor, objection. Could we approach?

THE COURT: Yes.

(Off-record Bench Conference)

THE COURT: The objection's sustained and you may proceed.

MS, GREENBERGER: Thank you, Your Honor.

XII-82

expected to catch the blood, I don't see that much blood, no.

Q Anything else on this photo?

A No.

Q Showing you Defendant's next-in-order, BBB. What is the significance of this injury -- or of this photo?

A This goes back to this question of rapid oozing. And I see that the inside of the pants at least from what I can tell, are fairly clean. So I don't see any evidence of dripping or bleeding into the pants. Remember that at least at some point this victim wM found supine, lying on his back, and if there was any bleeding going on you would expect to have seen some bleeding into the pants,

Q Anything else of significance?

A No,

Q Showing you Defendant's next CCC. This appears to be what?

A The back of the pants that the decedent was wearing, And really there's very little blood on the back of the pants. The only significant blood that I see is sort of on this back of the left leg which appears to be transfer as well.

Q What does the absence of blood tell you?

A Well, it doesn't look like the decedent was lying in a pool of blood, for instance. At least not face up. And there's essentially no blood soaking through in this area that we saw

XII-84

BY MS, GREENBERGER:

Q Anything else of significance in this photo?

A No.

Q Showing you what's been marked as DDD. What are we looking at?

A This is the lower abdomen of the victim. The belly button is here and the sort of start of the pelvis is on these edges. These appear to be two sets of stab wounds. One, this set. The other, this set. They appear to be in pairs. There appears —

Q Are they connected?

A They are apparently connected by an abrasion through the center, here and here. There is a sharp edge here and here. There's a sharp edge here and here. There's a rounded edge there, there, there and there.

Q Do they appear to be deep punctures?

A They do appear to be deep punctures, yes.

Q Is the spacing between the injuries of significance?

A Yes.

Q Why is that?

A I believe that each of these -- this and this, and this and this, were each caused by one blade of scissors. So this represents two stab wounds with scissors, this time opened, and the abrasion in the center is actually where when you

XII-85

XII-83

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

3

4

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

push through the tissue the blades end up touching the bunched up skin and causing the abrasion.

- Q Is the shape of the puncture significant?
- A It's significant in that scissors have a sharp edge and another sharp edge sort of facing each other, and a dull edge and a dull edge facing away from each other. Exactly like these injuries.

Q Did you measure the spacing of these injuries?

A I clid

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

Q And what was the measurements?

- A 5,8 centimeters is the minimum separation between entry point and entry point,
- Q Similar to the previous slide, can you explain why that distance is relevant?
- A When a person is holding scissors open in their hand, as I'm demonstrating here, the tips of the scissors are at an interval that's spaced exactly at the same place as the web space between the index finger and middle finger, and the web space betweetthe small finger and the ring finger. So the separation of the injuries suggest the separation of the points of the scissors and also therefore suggests the size of the hand holding the scissors,

Q Similar to the injury we saw on the neck, with the faint line, is that same faint line evident between these two

X11-86

A There is a pattern to the streaking. It goes sort of from the high ground, if you will, to the low ground on the abdomen. There's also some area over in the left side of the abdomen which looks like that serum was compressed by something. That's consistent with the plastic wrap that I think we've talked about — or you've talked about before,

Q Have you seen photographs from the autopsy that have plastic wrap depicted in that area?

A Yes,

Q And your testimony is that what we're seeing -- that you highlighted in blue -- is consistent with that?

A Correct,

Q Anything else of significance?

A Well talk about this area I think in just a second, but that is also significant. And there it is.

Q This is another picture of the abdomen area?

A Correct.

THE COURT: Which exhibit is it? MS. GREENBERGER: FFF again.

BY MS. GREENBERGER:

Q What's the significance of this?

THE COURT: So this is a closeup off of FFF?

MS, GREENBERGER: One moment, Your Honor.

Actually, I'm -- the previous exhibit, I'm sorry, was EEE. And

XI1-88

sets of injuries?

A It is

Q And would that be consistent with the inside of the scissors?

A Yes. And in fact if you look carefully, right here and right here, you see what appears to be a perpendicular — double line perpendicular to that connecting line. And that is exactly what you get from the place where the scissors cross, what I call the hinge point,

Q Anything else of significance in this photo?

A No.

Q Do you believe these injuries could have been caused by a knife?

A It's very unlikely.

Q Showing you Defense Exhibit FFF, What's the significance of this photo?

A Part of this is what we were talking about earlier, just a better picture of it. And that is that you have clotted blood here and then you have sort of streaky blood here This is more like serum at B and the clots are more like old blood.

Q What is the difference between the two?

A If you are alive and bleeding, you'll have clots. If you are dead and oozing, you won't.

Q Anything else of significance in this photo?

this is FF —

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. GREENBERGER: This is FFF, So I misspoke,

THE COURT: So what was previously designated

FFF was an error?

MS. GREENBERGER: Yeah. Sony about that.

THE COURT: It was EEE?

MS. GREENBERGER: Yes.

THE COURT: Thank you,

BY MS. GREENBERGER:

Q This is Defense FFF, What are we seeing in this picture?

A Well, this appears to be a patterned mark, meaning that the thing that left it, left its shape behind,

Q What is a pattern mark?

A It could be caused by, for instance, a shoe print. That's a pattern mark. If someone stomps a body, it can leave a pattern. If someone's hit by a billy club, it can leave a pattern. In this case it could be that it's a combination of serum, it could be that it's a pressure mark, but, in any event, it's something that's on the skin that was left behind presumably by the attacker,

Q And was that visible to you when you looked at this photo?

X11-89

3

4

5

6

8

9

13

16

17

20

21

22

23

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Not initially, I actually did a fair amount of work sort of with everything else, but it was actually probably several weeks after I started looking at this that this sort of became more evident to me,

Q Can you tell us what you're seeing in this picture? Or should I move to the next slide?

No, this is fine. There appears to be a ring here, a ring here, a handle here, and a blade here,

Q What does that appear to be?

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

16

17

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

It looks like at least part of a scissors. In addition, there is an area of clearing here, an area of clearing here, an 12 area of clearing here which is interesting because there's also 13 a mark there. Another area of clearing here. And then there's a further area defined here which appears to be the imprint of 15 the knuckles of the hand

Q How could that be left on the body?

If someone had the instrument in their hand and 18 then pushed up on the abdomen as they were standing up, 19 that could leave trk mark,

Q Have you seen marks like this in the past?

It's very unusual to see a complete set like this. I've 22 seen other patterns from coins, from knives, from guns actually in a couple of cases. This is the first sort of complete 24 picture like this, And if you go to the next one, I actually

X11-90

```
MS. GREENBERGER: Yes, I would move for its
1
2
   admission,
```

MR,, KEPHART: No objection, Your Honor,

THE COURT: Granted.

MS. GREENBERGER: Thank you,

(Defendant's Exhibit FFF-1, admitted)

BY MS, GREENBERGER:

Q What did you draw on this photo?

I actually attempted just to draw the outline of that 10 darkened area and then put into it the cleared spaces significant or at least consistent with where the knuckles may 12 have been.

Q The cleared spaces would be the inside of the scissors and then the red that you outlined would be consistent with a knuckle? 15

> A The hand, correct.

Q The hand. Anything else of significance — well, let 18 me ask you this. Which way does it appear that the scissors are pointed?

A Toward the left of the victim. Toward our right. With the pointy side toward our right,

Q And it's your testimony that the outline that we're seeing is an imprint of the actual scissors, in your opinion, that were used to inflict the injury in this case?

X11-92

made an outline around that just to make it a little bit more clear,

Q And what does your outline depict?

MR. KEPHART: Your Honor, for the record, what exhibit is this?

MS. GREENBERGER: This is Defense Exhibit FFF.

MR, KEPHART: No, you just had FFF,

MS, GREENBERGER: This is FFF,

THE COURT: It's FFF which he has drawn on apparently.

MS. GREENBERGER: Right,

THE COURT: So it should be marked separately. MS, GREENBERGER: Yeah, it wasn't capable of being printed in that format. It just — 'cause it's a computer — MR. KEPHART: Your Honor, maybe I can help the

defense with what they provided us.

MS. GREENBERGER: Oh, excellent. May I have this marked as next in order?

THE COURT: It could be FFF-1,

MS, GREENBERGER: All right.

BY MS. GREENBERGER:

Q Showing you FFF-1 —

THE COURT: Before you do that, are you moving its admission?

A And the hand holding them, yes. The other thing, of course, that I did was to measure this distance and to see if it matched this distance. And it -

Q Did it match?

It did.

O And what was that measurement?

A The measurement from the outside of the handle to the outside of the handle is -- is not here -- but the distance from the inside of this webbed space between the little finger and ring finger and the distance from the outside of the index finger is -- I just saw it -- 5,8 centimeters.

Q And is that the same distance that you measured in calculating the distance on the injuries on the abdomen?

A Yes.

Anything else of significance in this photo?

A No,

Q What does this depict? This is Defense Exhibit HHH. THE COURT: I'm sorry, I couldn't hear you.

Triple —

MS, GREENBERGER: H.

THE COURT: H. Thank you,

THE WITNESS: So this is one of the hands that was reported to me to be the hand of the defendant,

HI

X11-93

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

BY MS. GREENBERGER:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10 11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

Q And have you personally measured her hand as well?

A I actually measured both the left and the right side. This actually is the left hand,

Q And what is the significance of the measurement?

A Well, the question was could her hand have been the one in the scissors, and so when we asked her to measure her hand we didn't tell her why we were asking her to measure it, But I wanted to verify that in fact it was her hand and that the measurements were accurateSo I measured them again today.

Q And what was the measurement of her hand that you found?

A Actually on the right hand it was 4,4 centimeters from the first webbed space to the inside of the index to middle finger, and 7.9 outside to outside.

Q What did you conclude as a result of your measurements? –

A Her hand is at least 11/2" centimeters too small to have caused these injuriesr

Q Anything else of significance --

A No.

Α

Q — in that photo?

X11-94

Nor

Q In testing your observations did you have the opportunity to do any experimentation in this case?

A I did a test, yes,

Q Did you take several photographs with regard to this test that you did?

A I did,

Q Can you tell us a little bit about what you did?

A Sure, Well, one of the things that I wanted to make sure that I codid show you was what scissor stab wounds, knife stab wounds, double-edged knife stab wounds actually look like. And so I took some ultrasuede and put it on some foam

-- because I didn't want to injure any animals or do anything that was not politically correct -- and stabbed that material and took pictures.

Q Did you use several instruments?

A I — well, I used several — yeah, I used two different kinds of knives and scissors.

Q What types of knives did you use?

A Actually butterfly knives because my understanding is that that is what some people think was the instrument that caused the injuries.

Q And what other instrument did you use?

X11-95

A Scissors.

Q And did you pick a particular pair of scissors to test your —

A I did. I actually used the kind of scissors that I thought were most likely to have caused the injury, which have that little finger groove that I described earlier.

Q Did you take photographs of your experiment?

A I did,

MS. GREENBERGER: May I approach, Your Honor? THE COURT: Yes, you may.

BY MS. GREENBERGER:

Q Showing you what's been marked as Defense Proposed III through \NV. Can you take a look at these photos? Do you recognize these photos?

A Yes, I do.

Q Are these photos that you yourself took?

A They are.

MS. GREENBERGER: Your Honor, the defense would move for admission of III through VVV at this time,

KEPHART: Your Honor, before I agree with that, could I take the witness on voir dire?

THE COURT: Counsel approach,
(Off-record Bench Conference)

///

X11-96

BY MS, GREENBERGER:

Q Can you tell us about how you took these photographs, what these photographs — what you took them with?

A Yes. I went to Walmart, I got some foam, I got some ultrasuede, actually a remnant, I put the foam into the ultrasuede and actually stapled the edges, and then I put it on top of a trash can, took scissors and stabbed it as you might stab like a chair cushion,

Q Did you proceed to take a photograph after each stab?

A I did.

Q Did you — do these photographs that you reviewed, represent all of the photographs that you took?

A Yes.

Q What kind of camera did you use?

A This was a Canon Elf digital camera,

Q Did you conduct this experiment more than once?

A No.

Q Are these photographs a fair and accurate depiction of your entire experiment?

A Yes,

MS. GREENBERGER: Your Honor, we would move for admission of these exhibits at this time,

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

MR, KEPHART: Submit it, Your Honor,

THE COURT: Granted.

MS. GREENBERGER: Thank you, Your Honor, (Defendant's Exhibits III through VVV, admitted)

BY MS. GREENBERGER:

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Q Did you incorporate these photographs into a power point?

A I did.

Q Showing you what's been marked as Defense Exhibit KKK and III, can you tell us what we're looking at?

- A This is a single-edge butterfly knife. It has been stabbed into the cushion through this ultrasuede material, as I described earlier It was then removed and laid next to the incision that was caused, with the blade in the direction -- so essentially I stabbed in, pulled out, laid the knife down took a picture,
- Q And so what's being depicted as Defense KKK, in the left-hand corner is the single-edged butterfly knife, and then in the right-hand cora4i- what's being depicted as III is the tip of that same knife and that is below the stab wound?

**A Correct** 

Q And what is significant about the shape of that?

A This is a very typical configuration of a stab that you would see from a single-edge blade. It has a sharp point on

between a double edged and a single edged?

A It actually has two sharp edges, one on this edge, one on this edge, and then it actually has sort of a beveled cut in the center.

Q And what you're encircling is Defense Exhibit LLL. And did you do the same type of experiment with a doubleedged butterfly knife as you did in the last slide? You made a stab?

A Yes, I did,

Q And what is significant about the nature of the incision?

A Here we have a sharp edge, actually on both sides, and rather than being a teardrop, it's sort of like a narrow diamond, if you will, that spreads out on both sides,

Q And that's based on the sharpness on both sides?

A The sharpness and the relatively thicker blade in the center, if you will.

Q Anything else of significance in this slide?

A No.

Q Showing you Defense Exhibit MMM, Are these the scissors we've been talking about?

A Yes,

Q And what is significant about these particular scissors?

X 98

one end, a dull sort of curved edge on the other. It looks sort of like a teardrop shape. And the sharp side is where the sharp edge is and the dull side is where the dull edge is.

MS GREENBERGER: May I approach the witness, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes.

BY MS, GREENBERGER:

Q Showing you Defense UUU, is this the single-edged butterfly knife?

A It is

MS. GREENBERGER: And the record should reflect that UUU is the picture depicted in the left-hand corner, not KKK I apologize for that, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Very well. Thank you.

BY MS, GREENBERGER:

Q Anything else of significance in these -- this first slide?

A No,

Q What's in the left corner -- can you tell us what that is? That instrument.

A This is my cushion here with foam underneath, and this is a double-edged butterfly knife, stabbed into it,

Q And this would be Defense Exhibit KKK, This is a double-edged butterfly knife. And what is the distinction

XII-100

A They have this little cutout for the fingers. There's a finger rest there, Other than that, the handle length is essentially equal to the blade length. So when the handles are open an inch, the blades are open an inch,

Q And what is significant about the curvature over the left handle of the blade?

A This little point here is the thing that's sort of gouged into that eyebrow that I showed you about twenty pictures ago.

Q Did you measure the distance of the outside edges of the scissors?

A I measured the ratio between the blades and the handles.

Q And why is that significant?

A Well, if you had scissors that have, say, shorter handles and longer blades, the ratio would be different, Most scissors if — you know, like you use hedge clippers -- I think those were commented on by Dr. Simms -- they actually have long handles and short blades, so that you can exert more leverage. So if as in this case, the ratio of the blades to the handles is one to one, the distance between the blades and the handles end up being the same as well. That makes the calculations a lot easier.

Q And have you actually done any work in comparing

XII-99

XII-101

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

the measurements on these scissors to the injuries you observed on Duran Bailey?

Yes. So one question is whether the handle separation matches those injures, and it does, In fact the handle separation matches the sharp point separation which leads to a more likely situation that the configuration of the scissors is like this. And then looking at that imprint, it actually matches a ratio of one to one handles to blades. So they -- all three of those facts match each other,

Did you do any experimentation with the scissors?

Α I did.

2

3

5

6

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

1

)

3

7

8 q

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

Showing you Defense Exhibit 000. What are we looking at?

So this is scissors held with one ring on the index finger, the other ring on the small finger and just stabbing into the cushion, And what it shows is that there's actually bunching up of the tissue in between the scissor blades which results in -- if this were skin, that little connecting abrasion between the two \*gtk) wounds.

Q Did the material you used in this experiment simulate human skin?

It's thinner. It has different characteristics. But the characteristics that are similar are that it's similarly resilient, similarly elastic. Obviously, it's not skin,

X11-102

Q Did the bunching that occurred — would it have the same reaction with skin?

A Yes,

Q How do you know that?

I've seen scissor injuries in the past and they look like these do.

Anything else of significance in this photo? Q

A No

Q Showing you Defense Exhibit SSS --

THE'COURT: SSS?

MS. GREENBERGER: SSS.

BY MS, GREENBERGER:

Q -- and NNN. What is the significance of these two photographs?

A These are two consecutive stabs into my little cushion with scissors. And it shows that you can get different configurations of those stab wounds, in part because the material can twist a little bit. Sometimes one of the blades goes in first, and then it twists, then the second blade goes in, sometimes they both go in together, that sort of thing. So, on the left, you see that — you have one incision going one direction, the other incision going at a slightly different direction. On the right, you see them actually going in the same direction. What's significant is that you have sharp point other, in both, and the spacing between the two of them is the same and actually matches my hand, Q Can you show us how you made the incisions using

facing each other, in both, you have dull edge away from each

the scissors?

A Yeah. I put them on my hand like this, had the cushion like this, and just pushed down into it.

And is the twisting — which is illustrated in Exhibit -555 -- is that consistent with the human body? Something —

It is. Yeah.

Q You've seen that before?

Α Yes. And this is why they tell you not to run with scissors.

Anything else of significance in this photo?

You can see little scuff marks actually, here and here, between the blades. Again, it's ultrasuede, it's not a perfect material, but it's significant for the material bunching up between the blades.

Q So this depicts Defense Exhibit SS, NN, and then photographs of the abdomen which would be DDD. Can you tell us what we're looking at and the significance of it?

A In the case of the two test wounds that don't point toward each other, they actually match very closely with the lower stab wound on the abdomen. With the case of the ones

X11-104

that point toward each other, they match very closely to the upper stab wound again connected by that little abrasion.

Q And the bunching that you mentioned in the -- on the left-hand photo, Exhibit SSS, is consistent with the linear abrasion on DDD, on the abdomen?

Correct. And here again you see this sort of double abrasion here, and that's significant for this place where the blades actually meet each other.

Did this comparison confirm your opinions on what the mechanism of injury was in this case?

Certainly consistent with it, yes.

Q Showing you Defense Exhibit PPP, QQQ and RRRr Can you tell us what we're looking at?

A These are actual sequence photos. The first one in the upper left-hand corner is the first in the sequence.

Can you identify — mark that? Okay.

A This one.

MR. KEPHART: What number is that again, counsel? MS, GREENBERGER: That is Exhibit PPP,

THE WITNESS: And the one in the right upper is the next step, which is closing the scissors. And which one is that one?

BY MS. GREENBERGER:

Q That is QQQ. And then RRR.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 in.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And then the bottom -- is?

Q Sorry, RRR.

1

2

3

6

8

9

11

12

13

14

17

20

21

22

23

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

20

21

22

23

24

And that's the cut that ensued from closing the scissors. So we have sort of number 1, number 2 and number 3, in the sequence. And you can see the stair-stepped pattern which is because the blades cross over each other, cutting here, cutting here, and then cutting in the middle when they actually meet and shear across.

It would be a slow motion picture, starting with PPP. 10 After you did the snip incision, what you wound up with was RRR, the photo at the bottom —

Right, And that's —

Q -- as a direct result?

That's unfortunately why they're a little fuzzy 'cause 15 I was holding the scissors like this and taking the pictures like this, as I was closing and then snipping across. 16

Now, this — you testified you did this only one time This isn't something you did time and time again to try to 18 replicate. This w just --19

> Α Correct. Just one time.

Q Adding to this picture, Defense Exhibit XX, tell us what we're seeing.

A This is what I understand from the autopsy report, 24 as being the fatal injury to the left neck, which we showed

actually create one without having the stair step be the same width as the knife blade. Because you'd have to stab, stab, and turn, and stab again, or stab, slice a little bit, and then cut, So this one on the neck would take a very narrow knife in order to create that. The measurement of each of these is less than a centimeter so it's a third of an inch. Q How many stab injuries have you seen approximately? I know you said you've treated a hundred thousand patients. I mean, hundreds -

Yeah. It's on the order of thousands,

O Over thousands, From all kinds of different knives?

Knives, poles, spikes, scissors, yeah, every kind of rebar, lots of different things.

Q And your testimony is that in the thousands of patients you've seen with stab wounds, you've never seen anything that resembles what we're looking at in Defense Exhibit BB?

Remind me which one that is,

O I'm sorry?

Which -- remind me which exhibit that is,

Q What we're looking at

Oh, this one. The only thing that I know that matches this is scissors,

MS. GREENBERGER: May I approach the Clerk?

X11-108

X11-106

earlier and discussed earlier. But it matches the configuration of my test.

Q Does that injury seem to mirror the injury on RRR in terms of the shape?

It does.

Q And the stair step?

Correct.

Q Does that confirm — or further confirm your opinion that the injury to the carotid artery was caused by a scissor?

Α Yes:" It's consistent with that.

Q Is there anything else of significance in this photo?

Α

Q Showing you VV, Defense Exhibit VV, we saw that earlier. What does that depict?

This is actually the injury on the right neck. And 16 here the points were not quite as far apart. Rather than going 17 in wide like this, they went in more narrow like this So it's a smaller width. But the stair step is still between here and 19 there.

Q And this stair step was something that you saw when you did your experiment with the scissors?

Correct.

Q Can you get a stair step with a knife?

A I've never seen one, and I couldn't figure out how to

THE COURT: Yes.

BY MS, GREENBERGER:

Q Did you review —

MS. GREENBERGER: May I approach the witness?

THE COURT: Yes.

BY MS. GREENBERGER:

Q -- several photographs of Kirstin Lobato in this case?

I think I reviewed three of them, yes.

Q Did these photographs appear to be what you reviewed?

A Yes.

MS. GREENBERGER: Your Honor, we'd move for admission of AAAA, 777 and YYYr

MR. KEPHART: I have no objection, Judge. They're

THE COURT: YYY, 777 and AAAA will be admitted, (Defendant's Exhibits 777, YYY and AAAA, admitted) BY MS, GREENBERGER:

Q Showing you YYY. Did you review the injuries on the abdomen?

Not the injuries but the photograph certainly.

Q Or the photographs of the injuries?

A Yes,

Q What do those injuries appear to be?

X11-109

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

3

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

A Abrasions., Linear abrasions more specifically.

Q Do they appear to be -- or can you opine what they could be caused with?

A Well, let me describe them first, and then -MR. KEPHART: Your Honor, may we approach the bench?

THE COURT: Yes.

(Off-record Bench Conference)

THE COURT: The objection's sustained as beyond the notice.

BY MS, GREENBERGER:

Q Do you have an opinion what the penis in this case was amputated with?

A Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q What is that?

MR, KEPHART: Objection, Your Honor. Asked and answered.

THE COURT: Sustained.

BY MS, GREENBERGER:

Q Are the incisions -- lacerations of the neck, abdomen and fingers of the decedent in this case, consistent with scissors being used as a cutting and stabbing weapon?

MR. KEPHART: Your Honor, objection. Asked and answered

X11-110

THE COURT: Sustained.

BY MS. GREENBERGER:

Q The skull fracture in this case -- I don't believe we've talked about that., Do you have an opinion whether the skull fracture could have been caused by a bat?

A It's very unlikely,

Q Why is that?

The skull is really made out of what we call trabecular bone, It's two fairly hard kinds of bone with kind of spongy bone ii the middle. The outer part of the skull is a different thickness from the inner part of the skull, And it actually takes about 80 pounds per square foot to fracture the in part of the boner It only takes about 30 pounds per square foot to fracture the outer part of the boner And because you have this spongy bone in between, when you apply that amount of force, what ends up happening is that you crush the spongy bone in between and it ends up having a different configuration This fracture was a linear fracture. What you might get from taking a knife and cracking an egg. Whereas the kind of fracture that you would get with a bat is more like the fracture that you would see on an egg from trying to crack it on a bowl, where the bowl is, you know, sort of a thicker rounder edge, where it actually caves in a little bit, and that's what we call a depressed skull fracture. So if you have

enough force from an instrument to cause a fracture of the inner part of the skull, it's enough to cause the outer part of the skull to cave in, if you will, and cause a depressed skull fracture. If you -- as some people have said, just hit lightly with a bat, youll fracture the outer part but not the inner part and it will still cause the caving of the outer part without a fracture that goes all the way through. So you can't with a bat cause a linear skull fracture whether you hit lightly or hard, - that doesn't cause the outer surface of the skull to cave in and cause a depressed skull fracture,

Q I know you wrote a lengthy report in this case\_ Is there anything in the report -- so I don't repeat myself -- that was significant in your opinion that we haven't addressed?

A The one thing that we didn't talk about was fracture of the teeth which was brought out in I think Dr. Simms' testimony., And I guess that may be something that you may want to ask me about.

Q What is your opinion with regard to the teeth fracture?

A While it's certainly possible to fracture the teeth with a bat, you know, swinging the bat -- and it doesn't take a lot of force. It actually takes about between 15 and 17 pounds depending on dental condition, to actually cause the teeth to become loose. That usually also causes injuries to the maxilla,

X11-112

meaning the bone holding the teeth, unless the person's mouth is open and it just happens to impact the bat on the tooth itself. Usually you'll see various lip lacerations and things that go along with that. But it's also possible to get those fractures with the back of the scissors being swung in the fist, So I would say it's more likely that this narrow area of the scissor handle hits a tooth than the large surface area of a bat, although it's possible for either to do it in the right configuration,

Anything further?

A No\_

MS. GREENBERGER: I don't believe I have anything further, Your Honor,

THE COURT: We'll be a taking ten-minute stretch break at this time, Ladies and gentlemen, in ten minutes please be in the hallway. The bailiff will meet you there to return to your seats in the courtroom.

During the recess you're admonished not to talk or converse amongst yourselves nor with anyone else on any subject connected with this trial. You're not to read, watch or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial or any person connected with the trial by any medium of information, including, without limitation, newspaper, television, radio and Internet, And you're not to form or express any opinion on

any subject connected with the trial until the case is finally submitted to you. Court's in recess for ten minutes,

(The Court recessed at 4:06:49 until 4:25:28)

(The jury is present)

THE COURT: The record shall reflect that we're resuming trial in State versus Lobato, under Case Number C177394, in the presence of the defendant, her three counsel, the two prosecuting attorneys, the ladies and gentlemen of the jury, and the first defendant's witness, Dr, Laufer, who remains on the stand and under oath.

I believe that Ms. Greenberger had passed the witness when we took the stretch break,

MS. GREENBERGER: That's correct.

THE COURT: So the State may initiate their cross-examination

MR. KEPHART: Thank you, Your Honor,

MICHAEL LAUFER, DEFENDANT'S WITNESS,

REMAINS UNDER OATH

—dROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR, KEPHART:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Q Dr, Laufer, when is it that you were contacted by the defense in this case?

A I don't know the exact date. I'd approximate it at six weeks ago or so,

3 4

that correct?

A Yes.

2

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

12

13

14

IS

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

fingers.

Q Okay, And with regards to this first report, did you have an area in that report where you wrote down things that you looked at as well?

testified in direct ano you basically read off numerous items, is

A I don't recall,

MR. KEPHART: May I approach again, Your Honor,-to refresh his memory?

THE COURT: Yes.

BY MR, KEPHART:

Q Look at this one, tell me if that's still your report.

A Yes.

Q Does that appear to be your first report?

A Yes.

Q Okay, Is there an area in there where you indicate things that you looked at?

A Yes.

Q Okay. Can you go through that and tell us what you looked at in your -- by your first report?

A Yes. Digital images and documents provided on CD ROM and printed. These included images of the decedent initially identified as John Palms Doe, the area where the decedent was apparently found, aerial views of the same area,

#### X11-114

MR. !KEPHART: Approach the witness, Your Honor? THE COURT: Yes.

BY MR. KEPHART:

Q And after you'd been contacted by the defense, you drafted a report, is that true?

A Yes

Q And in your report — well, just so we know what we're talking about, you actually drafted three reports in this case, didn't you?

A One<sup>4</sup> report with two revisions subsequently, yes.

Q Okay. And in your —

MR, KEPHART: If I may approach the witness, Your

Honor?

THE COURT: Yes.

BY MR. KEPHART:

Q Can you tell me -- do you recognize what this is?

A This looks like the first -- the original.

Q Okay. You didn't date this one?

A Apparently not, no.

Q Okay. And in that report -- do you have a copy of that with you now?

A I don't, no.

Q Okay. Do you recall — 'cause, I mean, you just testified about numerous things that you looked at. You

images of the decedent after transport to what appears to be a morgue, as well as images of the accused and images of 3 another woman with multiple contusions, abrasions and lacerations apparently after a sexual assault and battery. 5 Documents reviewed were written statements by another 6 consulting forensic expert contracted by the defense. Transcripts of trial testimony of the first trial accusing Lobato of inflicting the injuries upon the decedent, as well as the 9 laboratory result summarizing results of samples taken from 10 the decedent at autopsy. I also requested and received 11 images of Lobato's hands measured with calipers across the

Q Okay, Can you tell me who is the forensic expert that was contacted by the defense, that you looked at statements from them? Who was that?

distal metacarpal-phalangeal joints of the second to fifth

A I believe his name is Brent Turvey.

Q Brent Turvey. Okay. Do you have his report with you?

A I do not.

Q And you didn't look at any other experts that were contacted by the defense as well?

A Not that I recall, no.

Q You never looked at anything from Mr. -- from Dr.

X11-1.1.7

XII-115

2

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

8

9

10

11

2

3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Wetley?

)

3

4

8

10

11

2 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

A Not that I recall, no.

Q And when you testified, earlier, you said in your third report, you now additionally -- and have the third report in front of you, right?

A I do, yes.

Q You looked at the autopsy report?

A Correct. Yes.

Q That's in addition to what you looked at before?

A Yes

Q Okay, You looked at additional DNA tests done on various samples, including the pubic hair found on the decedent's body?

A Correct.

Q You looked at video coverage of the trial of Dr. Simms' testimony, And you also said you looked at crime scene coroner's technician testimony. Who would that have been?

A My understanding is someone who testified over the last couple of days.

Q And your understanding, it was a crime scene coroner's technician?

A My understanding was that it was a -- someone from the coroner's office who is a technician, yes,

XU-118

Q Did anyone tell you that?

A Yes. I asked specifically what she was, and that's what I was told she was.

Who told you that?

A The defense.

Did she give you a name?

A I think Paulette was the last name.

Crime scene coroner's technician testimony?

A She was someone who apparently did DNA testing.

And they told you it was a crime scene coroner's technician, though?

A That was actually another person who was describing the condition in which they found the body and the plastic wrap and the trash, and the sequence of events.

Q Okay. So did you watch or view a crime scene coroner's technician's testimony? That's my question.

A I did not watch or view that, no. That was in discussion.

Q So that's something that we probably ought to mark out of your report?

A This was reviewing that, and I reviewed it with the defense. Their notes on that testimony.

Q Once again, a crime scene coroner's technician's testimony?

A Correct, !fiat's what I was told that person was, about whose testimony we were conferring.

Q Now, if you watched Dr. Simms' testimony, then you recall the questions that were — matter of fact you even talked about one of the jurors questions.

A Yes.

Q So you recall that? Okay. One of the questions asked by the State -- me -- was would you expect that if somebody's going to render an opinion about a criMe, a person's body, that they would look at an autopsy in conjunction with photographs? Do you remember that?

A I do. And as I recall, Dr. Simms said — well, I reviewed a lot of things, including the autopsy report. Correct,

Q His answer was he would expect you to see -- look at both of them.

A Right,

Q And my question there, then, is, is why is it that you waited until at least September 24th — well, when you -- or after Dr. Simms' testimony, to then review the autopsy report?

A I think that that's a mischarad:erization,

Q Okay.

A We don't have a date of the first. I don't know if you have the second,

Q I have the second. I'm going to ask you about that

XII-120

one, too.

A Okay.

Q Okay.

A So it was in that interval between the second and the third that I reviewed it.

Q Okay. So you would agree that even in the second report, you hadn't even looked at the autopsy report?

A I actually can't recall if I had looked at it at that point or not.

MR, KEPHART: May I approach, Your Honor? THE COURT: Yes.

BY MR, KEPHART:

Q I'm showing you what appears to be a — one, two, three -- four-page report. Does that appear to be your report?

A Yes.

Q Okay, And in -- this area says -- right under September r of 2006 -- it's the same area that starts out with what you reviewed. Can you tell me in that area if you see anyplace in there that you reviewed an autopsy report? It'd be that first paragraph, Doctor,

A No, it's not listed specifically.

Q So we can assume, then, that you had not looked at the autopsy report of Dr. Simms at least by September 3rd, 2006? Is that correct?

XU-119

2

3

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

A I certainly didn't list it. I can't remember if I reviewed it prior to that or not.

Q And you rendered some opinions, however, about your findings, particularly in this case, in all three reports, didn't you?

A Yes,

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

again?

Q And it changed actually — well, let me ask you this. You came here to testify -- and we have marked -- I guess the defense marked into evidence -- I don't know if you have them there with you still, Doctor, but some scissors. Oh, here they are. Exhibit CCCC.

MR, KEPHART: Is that right, Judge? Four Cs? THE COURT: Four Cs.

BY MR. KEPHART:

Q Right here. And you kind of held them and you talked about them in direct examination. Did you bring the scissors with you that you did the tests on?

A No.

Q Okay. And in your first -- in your first report do you remember characterizing them as the kind commonly used to cut hair by a barber or hair stylist?

A Yes,

Q And you said that they had a finger hook on them?

A Correct.

X11-122

aren't you?

A Yes.

Q 'Cause you say it has a finger hook on it?

A Correct.

Q And that's what we mean right there at the bottom, a finger hook?

A Yes.

Q Then in your next report, September r of 2006, - you change that, and you're now saying scissors — here they are

-- scissors that are -- the configuration is consistent with Fiskar-like scissors. Is that correct?

A Yes.

Q And that's what we have here today, somewhat of a Fiskar-like scissor?

A Right, Plastic handles as opposed to a metal hook.

Q Okay, Now, you — when you were contacted by the defense -- now, you said in previous testimony, that you have actually testified in previous -- on previous occasions. Matter of fact, your curriculum vitae talks about -- I guess with accident reconstruction, automobile accidents type of thing like that? Is that what we're talking about?

A On a few occasions, yes.

Q And when you're contacted by the defense do you

X11-124

Q Is that correct?

A Yes,

MR, KEPHART: Can I have this marked, Your Honor? Showing defense State's Proposed Exhibit 261. May I approach, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Would you state the number of it

MR. KEPHART: 261.

THE COURT: 261, Yes, you may.

BY MR, KEPHART:

Q Doctor, I'm showing you what's been marked as State's Proposed 261. Does that appear to be a photograph of scissors?

A Yes

Q And those would be -- it says actually styling scissors, is that right?

A Correct,

Q And there's a —

MR\_ KEPHART: Move to admit 261, Your Honor, MS. GREENBERGER: No objection,

THE COURT: Granted.

(State's Exhibit 261, admitted)

BY MR. KEPHART:

Q That's what you're talking about in your first report,

arrange a negotiation with them as to what it would cost for you to actually come testify?

A Not on every occasion, no.

Q Did you do that in this case?

A I didn't,

Q You're doing this for free?

A Yes.

Q Now, you've had an opportunity to view -- prior to today, view a website in reference to the defendant?

A I'm not sure I know what you mean.

Q It's your testimony that you would be an objective observer?

A Yes-

Q And you have — matter of fact you are here to render an opinion, and you basically if I'm -- get me wrong or not -- are you — you have rendered three different reports that talk about your opinion in this case? Is that right?

A Correct.

Q And in your most recent report you even went so far to say, I still intend to do further testing and review prior to testifying that may add to or change my opinions, is that correct?

A Yes.

Q Your exhibits -- the defense exhibits —

X11-125

patents. So you've <sup>p</sup>een involved in -- have you actually been MR. KEPHART: Excuse me, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Yes. 2 involved in the invention of these type of things? 3 MR. KEPHART: Is that UUU or WV? 3 Α Yes. MS. GREENBERGER: I think it's UUU. 4 Q Okay. So you've tested them? 5 5 MR. KEPHART: Okay, Α Correct. 6 6 BY MR. KEPHART: Q And you've marketed them? 7 7 UUU is the one that we were talking about with the Α In some cases, - your test of the use of this knife, This butterfly knife. Is 8 And when you test those items in order to market that right? 9 9 them, do you show your tests? Do you document your Α 10 Yes 10 tests? Q Α 11 Okay. And then HI -- I guess your Power Point has 11 Sure. Yes. it a little bit clearer than this. You described -- there, that's 12 12 Because you want to impress upon somebody the 13 better. You described that as a teardrop-type injury. One-13 purpose of the item that you're -- that you have designed and 14 sided weapon? 14 how it works? 15 Α Single edged. Yes, 15 Α For a variety of reasons. 16 Q Single edge And the rough side -- I guess the back 16 Q Okay. In this particular case you said you were side of the knife would be to the left of this photograph, the 17 17 contacted by the defense some six weeks ago, and you 18 sharp side would be to the right of the photograph? Is that 18 conducted these tests I guess after the defense contacted 19 19 correct? you? 20 20 Α Correct. A Yes, 21 21 Q And Exhibit FFF — we'll use EEE. See that? Have you — did you actually conduct the tests prior THE COURT: So this is EEE rather than FFF? to drafting your third report that's dated the 24<sup>th</sup> of 22 22 23 MR. KEPHART: Yes, Your Honor. Defense Exhibit 23 September, arid after your report of September the 3rd? EEE. 24 24 No, it was actually -- that was the test that I was X11-126 XII-128 1 THE COURT: We seem to keep getting that one going to conduct when I referred specifically to "I intend to 2 mixed up 2 conduct further tests." This was the test. 3 BY MR, KEPHART: 3 So you did it after the 24th? See that, Doctor? 4 4 A Correct. 5 5 Yes, Q Okay. Α Q Teardrop? 6 Last Saturday. 6 A 7 A Yes, 0 What time? 8 Q Pretty consistent with what you had talked about in 8 A Last Saturday, specifically. 9 Well, today's the 26<sup>th</sup> • Yesterday was the 25th. 9 HI, isn't it? Sunday would have been the 24<sup>th</sup>, But you conducted the test 10 A Single-edge instrument. 10 11 Saturday? 11 What's that? Q 12 It's a single-edged instrument, yes. 12 A It actually was Sunday. Sorry. Α 13 Q In fact all those are teardrop, correct? 13 Okay, So you drafted the report, I guess sent it to 14 A Correct -14 the defense, who in turn gave it to us yesterday, and you were 15 Q Now, it's your testimony that with regards to the 15 conducting the test after you did that? 16 type of instrument that would have been used here, as in — 16 A Correct. 17 17 specifically EEE, is scissors, is that right? Q Okay. And where was it conducted at? 18 18 A A My office. 19 And you had conducted some tests where we saw 19 Q Q And who helped you do the test? 20 20 some bunched up suede in some foam, is that correct? A No one. 21 21 A Ultrasuede, yes, Q Okay, No one witnessed the tests? 22 Ultrasuede, Okay. And can I ask you this, Doctor: 22 A Correct. 23 23 You said that you've invented -- or been involved in inventing Q Matter of fact you testified that --24 or you have a hundred patents or a little less than a hundred 24 Actually that's not completely true 'cause I did show

2

3

6

7

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q

Who took that photo then?

X11-131

<u>NV v. LOBATO</u> them to someone who happened to be working on the 1 Α This was tor the snip test. 2 weekend, who's our controller. Q Okay, Is this the one you took for stabbing into it? 3 Okay But you actually said that when you did the A tests — when you took a photograph, you were holding the 4 Q Okay, Now, in reference — 5 scissors yourself and you took the photograph, is that correct? THE COURT: Can you identify that one? 6 MR, KEPHART: Oh, I'm sorry, Judge. 000. Α And you have no -- no video documentation of this 7 THE COURT: Thank you. Q 8 test or anything like that? BY MR, KEPHART: 9 Only the video documentation that you see. And is there another one like that? Α Q Q There's actual video or is it just what you put as 10 Α No. your Power Point? 11 Q Okay. It's -- it's video in the sense of still picture video but 12 MR, KEPHART: Court's indulgence, Your Honor. it's not moving picture video. 13 THE COURT: Yes. Q And you have shown us in cross-examination, and 14 MR, KEPHART: I'm having trouble here. I'm sorry. multiple times, basically situations where you believe how 15 THE COURT: Yes, these scissors were handled? 16 BY MR. KEPHART: Α Yes. 17 You said there was only one time that you tested Q How thg were held? 18 that? 19 I stabbed the cushion twice, Correct. A Q Show us once again how you believe the scissors 20 Q Okay. were held to — when the injuries of EEE were made? 21 But I only took a picture of it in the cushion once. 22 Did you change the material between stabs? [witness demonstrates] Q Q Okay, 23 A No. It's all one continuous piece, 24 Do you have a photograph of the whole piece so we MR, KEPHART: Your Honor, for the record, he's got X11-130 X11-132 his pointer finger -- he's using his right hand, he's got his could see in relation to where you stabbed it? pointer finger through the -- one of the holes I guess in the 2 A I don't, 3 Q scissors, and his pinky finger on the same hand, through the So you only have a photo of one stab? Is that right? 4 I have a -- I have two photos of the stabs, which other hole. THE COURT: The record shall so reflect. 5 you have, one pointing away, one pointing toward, MR. KEPHART: Okay, 6 Q 7 But only one picture of the scissors in the foam. BY MR, KEPHART: A Q And you're saying that that would have been in a 8 Okay. So we don't know which one of -- the one situation — maybe he's getting stabbed like that? 9 that you see here on the screen now, 000 -- I mean 000, we Α Correct. 10 don't know if it caused SSS or i I I, do we? 11 Actually we do, only because I remember, Okay, And when you conducted these tests on the ultrasuede -- and you -- show me you how you did it on the 12 Okay. Which one did it cause? SSS uitrasuede. Use your book there or something as your 13 A The picture -- this picture is the first stab wound. 14 ultrasuede, Just -- don't stab through it obviously but --And which one is that? 15 That was the one where they actually point away This -- this was resting on a trash can, across here, A and straight through, 16 from each other. 17 Q And you did that with -- two different times? Q So you're talking about — 18 It's upside down, but — SSS? Q And that's the two photos that we have here? 19 Q 20 Α Yes. A Yes. Matter of fact -- is this photo here, PPP, is that a 21 Q Right here? Q 22 photo that you took as you were stabbing into the ultrasuede? A Correct. Okay, And then TTT was -- is that upside down too? 23 Α

Was another time?

XII-133

1

2

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

A That one's -- correct.

Q That's one right now?

A [no audible response]

Q Is that a yes?

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

A That's a yes,

Q Okay. And so we don't know — well, at what point in time did you do the second stab? Was it in the -- like I said, was it in the same material?

A Same material -- and actually if you go back to the picture that shows the scissors still in —

Q Yeah.

A -- you'll notice, right here, there's a seam.

Q Okay.

A That's where the second stab wound happened. In that area of the seam.

Q Okay, Can you show me on SSP I'm sorry, that was your first one. The second one, i I I, where the seam's at?

A Right here.

Q Oh, I thought you said that that was an abrasion caused from the knife.

A Well --

Q I mean the scissors.

A If you -- go back to the one where they point away

X11-134

Q And it's not light? Isn't the seam right below that?

A Well, even though it was unintentional when I stabbed, it happened because there was a seam there that the points went into the seam, and resulted in the stabs in the seam. It's not where I would have chosen to stab, and I guess if I wasn't going to be completely direct about it, I would have done it again somewhere else, but I didn't,

Q Can you see this area right here? Appears to be a little darker than any other area, doesn't it? Right above the blue line.

A Yes.

Q Is that your seam?

A It's certainly in the vicinity of the seam, yes, There's a shadow there.

Q Then what's this area right here? Is that your abrasion?

A No. I think what you're seeing is the shadow caused by the seam. If you go back, the seam is actually pointing upward, as I recall, and so the light is casting a shadow down in the area of the line that you drew.

Q So that certainly wouldn't be an abrasion then?

A That is not an abrasion, no

Q Okay. Now, Doctor, can you show us again how you say that you used -- just held the scissors with regards to the

X11-136

from each other,

Q Okay.

A That's the one where I was talking about the abrasion, right here.

Q You see something on there? Is it your testimony that you see something on that photograph that appears to be an abrasion? That's 555,

A It was certainly visible on the fabric and on the Power Point presentation. This thing as you pointed out earlier, degrades the image.

Q On your Power Point presentation -- okay. Well, let me -- let me give you the photograph, SSS, and you tell me if you can see an abrasion there?

A Well, again, you've printed it at a different resolution. But if we go back to the Power Point, it's easier to see.

These are actually your -- the defense's exhibits.

A Yeah, these -- these are prints.

Okay, And it's your testimony, though, the Power Point presentation has an abrasion on it?

A It certainly is more clear than on these prints, yes.

Q And then on -- on TIT, you're saying that this mark through the center here, is the seam?

A That's a seam, correct.

stab puncture?

A This is the snip.

Q No. Stab,

A The picture you're showing is the snip.

Q Okay. This one here.

THE COURT: That was PPP?

MR. KEPHART: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And now the one that's up is —

MR. KEPHART: SS.

THE COURT: SS.

MR. KEPHART: May I approach, Your Honor?

THE COURT: You may.

BY MR, KEPHART:

Q You're saying that these here, this is how it would be held —

A The blades.

Q to make the stab?

A Yeah.

Q Okay. So you're saying that they -- that they would be more fixed like that than a knife?

A I'm not sure I understand the question.

Q Well, obviously, they're not designed to be held that way, are they?

A No.

X11-137

Q A knife's designed to be held in Ene palm of the hand. And my question of you, is, are you saying that scissors in that nature, being held like that, are — would they be able to be moved back and forth? That's what I mean,

A You mean open and closed?

Q No, Back and forth.

A Or do you mean rotated on the hand?

Q Uh-huh, Yeah,

3

5

6

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

1 2

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Yeah, they're -- they're rotatable.

Q Okay, You indicated that -- that with respect to the stab wounds — you described these ones here in the abdomen of Mr. Bailey, EE -- that it's your opinion that these were caused by scissors?

Correct

Q Okay. And my question to you, is, do you know how

A No There was evidence by Dr. Simms that at least one went into the liver,

Q Okay, That's pretty deep,

It's pretty deep.

Q Did you read the autopsy report?

Q Okay. In this -- these particular pictures, if you were using the very scissors that you have right there, one of them

6 7

8

2

4

5

0 1 12

13

14

15

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

injury, something had to start there, right? A I'm not sure I know what you mean by the back side.

16 17 you expect the sharp portion of the weapon to be at the sharp 18 point of the teardrop. 19

A Correct.

the two sets of stab wounds.

distance between here and here?

puncture and the other puncture,

Q And that the dull portion of the weapon would be at the back -- at the —

Well, you say fixed interval, you're talking about the

Well, it's actually the distance of that line connecting

between the two. Sorry, that was not a very good one, So --

distance between the sharp edges, it's actually the distance -

Q Okay. The back side? Would it be the back side?

Well, you talked about a teardrop, and you -- that

between the puncture and the other puncture, and the

'Cause, obviously, in order to make the back side of that

Q Sorry, I'm trying to get it where you can see better.

So the distance -- and it's actually not so much the

A Outside.

Q dull portion of the teardrop,

A Right,

X11-138

would have to penetrate the liver. How deep is the liver?

It depends on how thick the abdominal wall is. It's certainly on the order of inches.

Q Okay, Inches. Would you expect that once the -- a pair of scissors go into the point where they actually penetrate the liver, several inches here, that the injuries would get closer together? Are you saying that they bunch up when you do that?

Yeah, the skin bunches up.

Q Human skin is going to bunch up like you have these pictures in -- in Exhibit 000?

Correct,

Q Wouldn't that have a lot to do with the muscular portion of the individual in the abdomen here?

The thickness of that bunch is certainly going to be dependent on the individual, yes,

Q And it's your testimony here that these bunched up enough to where it caused that laceration in the center?

The abrasion, correct.

Q The abrasion, Would that also be consistent with a stab wound and then the knife being drug across the wound?

A You would have to do essentially three things. You'd have to stab, you'd have to drag and rotate the knife, and then stab again. And you'd have to do it at a fixed interval between

XII-140

Q And in this particular case, wouldn't you expect the weapon to have entered the skin at least at the back portion, meaning the blunt portion of the teardrop?

No, because actually the hole gets bigger as you go down the blade.

Q So it'd be right in the middle?

Well, it's not actually in the middle either because if you look at the blade, it's actually along a line that connects -or that actually connects the sharp and the dull. But if you only have one of those edges, it's really along the edge that doesn't cut, which is really the dull edge.

Q Where would you start your measurement on these particular -- these particular injuries to tell us that they came from the same weapon?

The only straight edge on the scissors is the dull edge. So you start from there to there, there to there.

Q And it's your testimony that these here are consistent?

A Yes.

Q In what regard? Tell me the measurements. Are they both the same?

A Actually I went from the smallest —

Q And if you could direct me to your report —

Sure.

X11-139

> 22 23 24

Q — where you're actually talking about the measurements?

THE COURT: Would counsel approach?

(Off-record Bench Conference)

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, we had hoped that Dr. Laufer's testimony would be concluded today but that is not going to be possible due to a scheduling issue tomorrow. He will be returning with us on Thursday at 1:00 o'clock.

He may step down and we will see him back -- the Court orders him to return Thursday at 1:00 p.m.

We will be taking our evening recess. During this recess the Court admonishes you that you are not to talk or converse among yourselves nor with anyone else on any subject connected with the trial. You're not to read, watch or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial or any person connected with the trial by any medium of information, including, without limitation, newspaper, television, radio, and Internet. And you're not to form or express any opinion on any subject connected with the trial until the case is finally submitted to you,

You have a good evening and we will see you tomorrow at 10:30. Please be in the hallway at that time and the bailiff will meet you there to return you to your seats.

The jury may exit.

X11-142

**AFFIRMATION** □ Pursuant to NRS 2398.030

The undersigned does hereby affirm that the preceding Transcript filed in District Court, Case No. C177394 does not contain the social security number of any person,

Lori Lutz Transcriber

<u>5/10/07</u>

X11-144

(Jurors Recessed at 5:09:34)

THE COURT: The record shall reflect that the jury has exited and the Court's asked counsel to stay to discuss some scheduling issues. And we'll go off the record at this timer

Court Adjourned until the following day, September 27, 2006

\*\*\*\*\*4\*\*

# **CERTIFICATION**

I (WE) CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING IS A **"ROUGH DRAFT"** TRANSCRIPT FROM THE ELECTRONIC SOUND RECORDING OF THE PROCEEDINGS IN THE ABOVE-ENTITLED MATTER,

NW TRANSCRIPTS, LLC☐
NEVADA DIVISION
1027 S. RAINBOW BLVD., #148
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89145-6232
(702) 373-7457

nwtranscri msn.com

FEII RALLY CERTIFIED MAN AGER/OWNER

TRANSCRIBER

<u>5/10/07</u>

Δ, ,

COPY

X11-145

\* \* \* \* \*